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Let $G$ be a simple $n$-vertex graph with degree sequence $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$ and vertex set $\mathrm{V}(G)$. The degree of $v \in \mathrm{~V}(G)$ is denoted by $d(v)$. The smallest integer $r$ for which $\mathrm{V}(G)$ has an $r$-partition

$$
\mathrm{V}(G)=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{r}, \quad V_{i} \cap V_{j}=\emptyset, \quad, i \neq j
$$

such that $d(v) \leq n-\left|V_{i}\right|, \forall v \in V_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, r$ is denoted by $\varphi(G)$. In this note we prove the inequality

$$
\varphi(G) \geq \frac{n}{n-\overline{\bar{d}}}
$$

where $\overline{\bar{d}}=\sqrt{\frac{d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}+\cdots+d_{n}^{2}}{n}}$.

1. Introduction. We consider only finite, non-oriented graphs without loops and multiple edges. We use the following notations:
$\mathrm{V}(G)$ - the vertex set of $G$;
$e(G)$ - the number of edges of $G$;
$\operatorname{cl}(G)$ - the clique number of $G$;
$\chi(G)$ - the chromatic number of $G$;
$\mathrm{N}(v), v \in \mathrm{~V}(G)$ - the set of neighbours of a vertex $v$;
$\mathrm{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{~N}\left(v_{i}\right) ;$
$d(v)$ - the degree of a vertex $v$;
$\mathrm{G}[V], V \subseteq \mathrm{~V}(G)$ - induced subgraph by $V$.
Definition 1. Let $G$ be a graph, $|\mathrm{V}(G)|=n$ and $V \subseteq \mathrm{~V}(G)$. Then, the set $V$ is called $a \delta$-set in $G$, if

$$
d(v) \leq n-|V| \text { for all } v \in V
$$

Clearly, any independent set $V$ of vertices of a graph $G$ is a $\delta$-set in $G$ since $\mathrm{N}(v) \subseteq$ $\mathrm{V}(G) \backslash V$ for all $v \in V$. It is obvious that if $V \subseteq \mathrm{~V}(G)$ and $|V| \geq \max \{d(v) \mid v \in \mathrm{~V}(G)\}$ then $\mathrm{V}(G) \backslash V$ is a $\delta$-set in $G$ (it is possible that $\mathrm{V}(G) \backslash V$ is not independent).

[^0]Definition 2. A graph $G$ is called a generalized $r$-partite graph if there is a r-partition

$$
\mathrm{V}(G)=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{r}, \quad V_{i} \cap V_{j}=\emptyset, \quad, i \neq j
$$

where the sets $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{r}$ are $\delta$-sets in $G$. The smallest integer $r$ such that $G$ is a generalized $r$-partite is denoted by $\varphi(G)$.

As any independent vertex set of $G$ is a $\delta$-set in $G$, we have $\varphi(G) \leq \chi(G)$. In fact, the following stronger inequality [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(G) \leq \operatorname{cl}(G) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Let $\mathrm{V}(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{cl}(G)=r$. Define

$$
\bar{d}=\frac{d\left(v_{1}\right)+d\left(v_{2}\right)+\cdots+d\left(v_{n}\right)}{n}, \quad \overline{\bar{d}}=\sqrt{\frac{d^{2}\left(v_{1}\right)+d^{2}\left(v_{2}\right)+\cdots+d^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}{n}}
$$

By the classical Turan Theorem, [11] (see also [5]) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(G) \leq \frac{n^{2}(r-1)}{2 r} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (2) holds if and only if $n \equiv 0(\bmod r)$ and $G$ is complete $r$-chromatic and regular.

It is proved in [6] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(G) \leq \frac{n^{2}(\varphi(G)-1)}{2 \varphi(G)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (1) the inequality (3) is stronger than the inequality (2). But in case of equality in (3) the graph $G$ is not unique as it is in the Turan theorem.

Since $\bar{d}(G)=\frac{2 e(G)}{n}$, it follows from (3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(G) \geq \frac{n}{n-\bar{d}(G)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this note we give the following improvement of the inequality (4).
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a n-vertex graph. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(G) \geq \frac{n}{n-\overline{\bar{d}}(G)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (5) holds if and only if $n \equiv 0(\bmod \varphi(G))$ and $G$ is regular graph of degree $\frac{n(\varphi(G)-1)}{\varphi(G)}$.
2. Auxiliary results. We denote the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree $s$ by $\sigma_{s}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), 1 \leq s \leq n$, i. e.

$$
\sigma_{s}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{s}+\cdots
$$

Further, we use the following equalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}=\sigma_{1}^{2}-2 \sigma_{2}  \tag{6}\\
& x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}+\cdots+x_{n}^{3}=\sigma_{1}^{3}-3 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}+3 \sigma_{3} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.
In order to prove Theorem 1 we use the following well-known inequality (particular case of the Maclaurin inequality, see [2], [3]).

Theorem 2. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be non-negative reals and $\sigma_{s}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sigma_{s}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt[s]{\frac{\sigma_{s}}{\binom{n}{s}}} \leq \frac{x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}}{n}=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{n}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq n \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s \geq 2$, then the equality in (8) holds if and only if $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}$.
A straight and very short prove of Theorem 2 is given in [4].
3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\varphi(G)=r, \mathrm{~V}(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and
(9) $\quad \mathrm{V}(G)=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{r}, \quad V_{i} \cap V_{j}=\emptyset, \quad i \neq j$,
where $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{r}$ are $\delta$-sets in $G$, i. e. if $n_{i}=\left|V_{i}\right|, i=1,2, \ldots, r$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(v) \leq n-n_{i}, \quad \forall v \in V_{i} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (9) that

$$
d^{2}\left(v_{1}\right)+d^{2}\left(v_{2}\right)+\cdots+d^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{v \in V_{i}} d^{2}(v)
$$

According to (10)

$$
\sum_{v \in V_{i}} d^{2}(v) \leq n_{i}\left(n-n_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

Thus we have

$$
d^{2}\left(v_{1}\right)+d^{2}\left(v_{2}\right)+\cdots+d^{2}\left(v_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}\left(n-n_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

From (6) and (7) we see that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}\left(n-n_{i}\right)^{2}=n \sigma_{2}+3 \sigma_{3}
$$

where $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), \sigma_{3}=\sigma_{3}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$.
Thus we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2}\left(v_{1}\right)+d^{2}\left(v_{2}\right)+\cdots+d^{2}\left(v_{n}\right) \leq n \sigma_{2}+3 \sigma_{3} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma_{1}=n$, Theorem 2 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{2} \leq \frac{n^{2}(r-1)}{2 r} \text { and } \sigma_{3} \leq \frac{n^{3}(r-1)(r-2)}{6 r^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the inequality (5) follows from (11) and (12).
Obviously, if $n \equiv 0(\bmod r)$ and $d\left(v_{1}\right)=d\left(v_{2}\right)=\cdots=d\left(v_{r}\right)=\frac{n(r-1)}{r}$, then we have equality in (5). Now, let us suppose that we have equality in inequality (5). Then, we have equality in (12) and (10) too. From $r=\varphi(G)=\frac{n}{n-\overline{\bar{d}}}$ it is clear that $r$ divides $n$. By Theorem 2, we have

$$
n_{1}=n_{2}=\cdots=n_{r}=\frac{n}{r}
$$

Because of the equality in (10), i. e. $d(v)=n-n_{i}, v \in V_{i}$, we have

$$
d\left(v_{1}\right)=d\left(v_{2}\right)=\cdots=d\left(v_{r}\right)=\frac{n(r-1)}{r}
$$

Theorem 1 is proved.

## 4. Some corollaries.

Definition 3 ([5]). Let $G$ be a graph and $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r} \in \mathrm{~V}(G)$. Then, the sequence $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}$ is called an $\alpha$-sequence in $G$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $d\left(v_{1}\right)=\max \{d(v)|v \in| \mathrm{V}(G)\}$;
(ii) $v_{i} \in \mathrm{~N}\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i-1}\right]$ and $v_{i}$ has maximal degree in the induced subgraph $\mathrm{G}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i-1}\right], 2 \leq i \leq r\right.$.

Definition 4. Let $G$ be a graph and $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r} \in \mathrm{~V}(G)$. Then, the sequence $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}$ is called a $\beta$-sequence in $G$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $d\left(v_{1}\right)=\max \{d(v)|v \in| \mathrm{V}(G)\}$;
(ii) $v_{i} \in \mathrm{~N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i-1}\right)$ and $d\left(v_{i}\right)=\max \left\{d(v) \mid v \in \mathrm{~N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i-1}\right)\right\}, 2 \leq i \leq r$.

Corollary 1. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}, r \geq 2$ be an $\alpha$ - or a $\beta$-sequence in an $n$-vertex graph $G$ such that $\mathrm{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}\right)$ is a $\delta$-set. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \geq \frac{n}{n-\overline{\bar{d}}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p}\right)$ is a $\delta$-set, $G$ is a generalized $r$-partite graph, [9]. Thus, $r \geq \varphi(G)$ and (13) follows from Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}, r \geq 2$, be a $\beta$-sequence in $n$-vertex graph $G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(v_{1}\right)+d\left(v_{2}\right)+\cdots+d\left(v_{r}\right) \leq(r-1) n . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the inequality (13) holds.
Proof. From (14) it follows that $G$ is a generalized $r$-partite graph ( [7], [8]).
The next corollary follows from (1) and Theorem 1.
Corollary 3 ( [1]). Let $G$ be an n-vertex graph. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cl}(G) \geq \frac{n}{n-\overline{\bar{d}}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. The prove of the inequality (15) given in [1] is incorrect, since the arguments on p. 53 , rows 8 and 9 from the top, is not valid.

Corollary 4. Let $G$ be an n-vertex graph such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cl}(G)=\frac{n}{n-\overline{\bar{d}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $G$ is regular and complete $\operatorname{cl}(G)$-chromatic graph.
Proof. Let $\varphi(G)=r$. Then, by (16), (1) and Theorem 1 we have

$$
\operatorname{cl}(G)=\varphi(G)=r=\frac{n}{n-\overline{\bar{d}}}
$$

By Theorem $1, n \equiv 0(\bmod r)$ and $G$ is a regular graph of degree $\frac{n(r-1)}{r}$. Thus

$$
e(G)=\frac{n^{2}(r-1)}{2 r}=\frac{n^{2}(\operatorname{cl}(G)-1)}{2 \operatorname{cl}(G)}
$$

According to Turan's Theorem, $G$ is complete $r$-chromatic and regular.
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## ЕДНО НЕРАВЕНСТВО ЗА ОБОБЩЕНИ ХРОМАТИЧНИ ГРАФИ

## Асен Божилов, Недялко Ненов

Нека $G$ е $n$-върхов граф и редицата от степените на върховете му е $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$, а $\mathrm{V}(G)$ е множеството от върховете на $G$. Степента на върха $v$ бележим с $d(v)$. Най-малкото естествено число $r$, за което $\mathrm{V}(G)$ има $r$-разлагане

$$
\mathrm{V}(G)=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{r}, \quad V_{i} \cap V_{j}=\emptyset, \quad, i \neq j
$$

такова, че $d(v) \leq n-\left|V_{i}\right|, \forall v \in V_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, r$ е означено с $\varphi(G)$. В тази работа доказваме неравенството

$$
\varphi(G) \geq \frac{n}{n-\overline{\bar{d}}},
$$

където $\overline{\bar{d}}=\sqrt{\frac{d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}+\cdots+d_{n}^{2}}{n}}$.
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