## MATEMATUKA И MATEMATUYECKO ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ, 2012 MATHEMATICS AND EDUCATION IN MATHEMATICS, 2012

Proceedings of the Forty First Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians Borovetz, April 9–12, 2012

# AN INEQUALITY FOR GENERALIZED CHROMATIC GRAPHS $^*$

## Asen Bojilov, Nedyalko Nenov

Let G be a simple n-vertex graph with degree sequence  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$  and vertex set V(G). The degree of  $v \in V(G)$  is denoted by d(v). The smallest integer r for which V(G) has an r-partition

$$V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_r, \quad V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset, \quad , i \neq j$$

such that  $d(v) \leq n - |V_i|$ ,  $\forall v \in V_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., r is denoted by  $\varphi(G)$ . In this note we prove the inequality

$$\varphi(G) \ge \frac{n}{n - \bar{d}},$$

where 
$$\bar{d} = \sqrt{\frac{d_1^2 + d_2^2 + \dots + d_n^2}{n}}$$
.

1. Introduction. We consider only finite, non-oriented graphs without loops and multiple edges. We use the following notations:

V(G) – the vertex set of G;

e(G) – the number of edges of G;

cl(G) – the clique number of G;

 $\chi(G)$  – the chromatic number of G;

 $N(v), v \in V(G)$  — the set of neighbours of a vertex v;

 $N(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k N(v_i);$ 

d(v) – the degree of a vertex v;

 $G[V], V \subseteq V(G)$  – induced subgraph by V.

**Definition 1.** Let G be a graph, |V(G)| = n and  $V \subseteq V(G)$ . Then, the set V is called a  $\delta$ -set in G, if

$$d(v) \leq n - |V|$$
 for all  $v \in V$ .

Clearly, any independent set V of vertices of a graph G is a  $\delta$ -set in G since  $N(v) \subseteq V(G) \setminus V$  for all  $v \in V$ . It is obvious that if  $V \subseteq V(G)$  and  $|V| \ge \max\{d(v) \mid v \in V(G)\}$  then  $V(G) \setminus V$  is a  $\delta$ -set in G (it is possible that  $V(G) \setminus V$  is not independent).

Key words: clique number, degree sequence.

<sup>\*2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05C35.

This work was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of the St. Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia under contract No 187, 2011.

**Definition 2.** A graph G is called a generalized r-partite graph if there is a r-partition

$$V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_r, \quad V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset, \quad , i \neq j$$

where the sets  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r$  are  $\delta$ -sets in G. The smallest integer r such that G is a generalized r-partite is denoted by  $\varphi(G)$ .

As any independent vertex set of G is a  $\delta$ -set in G, we have  $\varphi(G) \leq \chi(G)$ . In fact, the following stronger inequality [10]

$$\varphi(G) \le \operatorname{cl}(G)$$

holds.

Let  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and cl(G) = r. Define

$$\bar{d} = \frac{d(v_1) + d(v_2) + \dots + d(v_n)}{n}, \quad \bar{d} = \sqrt{\frac{d^2(v_1) + d^2(v_2) + \dots + d^2(v_n)}{n}}.$$

By the classical Turan Theorem, [11] (see also [5]) we have

(2) 
$$e(G) \le \frac{n^2(r-1)}{2r}.$$

The equality in (2) holds if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$  and G is complete r-chromatic and regular.

It is proved in [6] that

(3) 
$$e(G) \le \frac{n^2(\varphi(G) - 1)}{2\,\varphi(G)}.$$

According to (1) the inequality (3) is stronger than the inequality (2). But in case of equality in (3) the graph G is not unique as it is in the Turan theorem.

Since 
$$\bar{d}(G) = \frac{2e(G)}{n}$$
, it follows from (3) that

(4) 
$$\varphi(G) \ge \frac{n}{n - \bar{d}(G)}$$

In this note we give the following improvement of the inequality (4).

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a n-vertex graph. Then,

(5) 
$$\varphi(G) \ge \frac{n}{n - \bar{\bar{d}}(G)}.$$

The equality in (5) holds if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{\varphi(G)}$  and G is regular graph of degree  $\frac{n(\varphi(G)-1)}{\varphi(G)}$ .

**2. Auxiliary results.** We denote the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree s by  $\sigma_s(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ ,  $1 \le s \le n$ , i. e.

$$\sigma_s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_s + \dots$$

Further, we use the following equalities:

(6) 
$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = \sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_2,$$

(7) 
$$x_1^3 + x_2^3 + \dots + x_n^3 = \sigma_1^3 - 3\sigma_1\sigma_2 + 3\sigma_3,$$

where  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ .

In order to prove Theorem 1 we use the following well-known inequality (particular case of the Maclaurin inequality, see [2], [3]).

**Theorem 2.** Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  be non-negative reals and  $\sigma_s(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \sigma_s$ . Then,

(8) 
$$\sqrt[s]{\frac{\sigma_s}{\binom{n}{s}}} \le \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n} = \frac{\sigma_1}{n}, \quad 1 \le s \le n.$$

If  $s \ge 2$ , then the equality in (8) holds if and only if  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$ . A straight and very short prove of Theorem 2 is given in [4].

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $\varphi(G) = r$ ,  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and

(9) 
$$V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_r, \quad V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j,$$

where  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r$  are  $\delta$ -sets in G, i. e. if  $n_i = |V_i|, i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ , then (10)  $d(v) \leq n - n_i, \quad \forall v \in V_i.$ 

It follows from (9) that

$$d^{2}(v_{1}) + d^{2}(v_{2}) + \dots + d^{2}(v_{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{v \in V_{i}} d^{2}(v).$$

According to (10)

$$\sum_{v \in V_i} d^2(v) \le n_i (n - n_i)^2.$$

Thus we have

$$d^{2}(v_{1}) + d^{2}(v_{2}) + \dots + d^{2}(v_{n}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}(n - n_{i})^{2}.$$

From (6) and (7) we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i (n - n_i)^2 = n\sigma_2 + 3\sigma_3,$$

where  $\sigma_2 = \sigma_2(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r), \ \sigma_3 = \sigma_3(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r).$ 

Thus we obtain the inequality

(11) 
$$d^2(v_1) + d^2(v_2) + \dots + d^2(v_n) \le n\sigma_2 + 3\sigma_3.$$

Since  $\sigma_1 = n$ , Theorem 2 yields

(12) 
$$\sigma_2 \le \frac{n^2(r-1)}{2r} \text{ and } \sigma_3 \le \frac{n^3(r-1)(r-2)}{6r^2}.$$

Now, the inequality (5) follows from (11) and (12).

Obviously, if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$  and  $d(v_1) = d(v_2) = \cdots = d(v_r) = \frac{n(r-1)}{r}$ , then we have equality in (5). Now, let us suppose that we have equality in inequality (5). Then, we have equality in (12) and (10) too. From  $r = \varphi(G) = \frac{n}{n-\bar{d}}$  it is clear that r divides n. By Theorem 2, we have

$$n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_r = \frac{n}{r}.$$

Because of the equality in (10), i.e.  $d(v) = n - n_i, v \in V_i$ , we have

$$d(v_1) = d(v_2) = \dots = d(v_r) = \frac{n(r-1)}{r}$$
.

Theorem 1 is proved.

#### 4. Some corollaries.

**Definition 3** ([5]). Let G be a graph and  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r \in V(G)$ . Then, the sequence  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r$  is called an  $\alpha$ -sequence in G if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $d(v_1) = \max \{d(v) \mid v \in |V(G)\};$
- (ii)  $v_i \in N[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}]$  and  $v_i$  has maximal degree in the induced subgraph  $G[N(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}], 2 \le i \le r$ .

**Definition 4.** Let G be a graph and  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r \in V(G)$ . Then, the sequence  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r$  is called a  $\beta$ -sequence in G if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $d(v_1) = \max\{d(v) \mid v \in |V(G)\};$
- (ii)  $v_i \in N(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1})$  and  $d(v_i) = \max\{d(v) \mid v \in N(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1})\}, 2 \le i \le r$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r, r \geq 2$  be an  $\alpha$ - or a  $\beta$ -sequence in an n-vertex graph G such that  $N(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r)$  is a  $\delta$ -set. Then,

$$(13) r \ge \frac{n}{n - \bar{d}}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $N(v_1, v_2, ..., v_p)$  is a  $\delta$ -set, G is a generalized r-partite graph, [9]. Thus,  $r \geq \varphi(G)$  and (13) follows from Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r, r \geq 2$ , be a  $\beta$ -sequence in n-vertex graph G such that (14)  $d(v_1) + d(v_2) + \cdots + d(v_r) \leq (r-1)n.$ 

Then, the inequality (13) holds.

**Proof.** From (14) it follows that G is a generalized r-partite graph ([7], [8]).  $\square$  The next corollary follows from (1) and Theorem 1.

Corollary 3 ([1]). Let G be an n-vertex graph. Then,

$$\operatorname{cl}(G) \ge \frac{n}{n - \bar{d}}.$$

**Remark 1.** The prove of the inequality (15) given in [1] is incorrect, since the arguments on p. 53, rows 8 and 9 from the top, is not valid.

Corollary 4. Let G be an n-vertex graph such that

(16) 
$$\operatorname{cl}(G) = \frac{n}{n - \bar{d}}.$$

Then, G is regular and complete cl(G)-chromatic graph.

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi(G) = r$ . Then, by (16), (1) and Theorem 1 we have

$$\operatorname{cl}(G) = \varphi(G) = r = \frac{n}{n - \bar{d}}.$$

By Theorem 1,  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$  and G is a regular graph of degree  $\frac{n(r-1)}{r}$ . Thus

$$e(G) = \frac{n^2(r-1)}{2r} = \frac{n^2(\mathrm{cl}(G)-1)}{2\,\mathrm{cl}(G)}.$$

According to Turan's Theorem, G is complete r-chromatic and regular.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. EDWARDS, C. ELPHICK. Lower bounds for the clique and the chromatic number of a graph. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **5** (1983), 51–64.
- [2] G. H. HARDY, J. F. LITELEWOOD, G. POLYA. Inequalities, 1934.
- [3] N. KHADZHIIVANOV. Extremal theory of graphs, Sofia University, Sofia, 1990 (in Bulgarian).
- [4] N. KHADZHIIVANOV, N. NENOV. An equalities for elementary symetric functions. *Matematica*, 4 (1977), 28–31 (in Bulgarian).
- [5] N. Khadzhiivanov, N. Nenov. Extremal problems for s-graphs and a theorem of Turan. Serdica Math. J., 3 (1977), 117–125 (in Russian).
- [6] N. KHADZHIIVANOV, N. NENOV. Generalized r-partite graphs and Turan's theorem. Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 57 (2004) No 2, 19–24.
- [7] N. KHADZHIIVANOV, N. NENOV. Saturated β-sequences in graphs. Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 57 (2004) No 6, 49–54.
- [8] N. KHADZHIIVANOV, N. NENOV. Sequence of maximal degree verteces in graphs. Serdica Math. J., 30 (2004), 95–102.
- [9] N. KHADZHIIVANOV, N. NENOV. Balanced vertex sets in graphs. Ann. Univ. Sofia, Fac. Math. Inf., 97 (2005), 50-64.
- [10] N. NENOV. Improvement of graph theory Wei's inequlity. Mathematics and education in mathematics, 35 (2006), 191–194.
- [11] P. Turan. Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie. Mat. Fiz. Lapok, 48 (1941), 436–452.

Asen Bojilov

Nedyalko Nenov

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics

University of Sofia

5, J. Bourchier Blvd

1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

e-mail: bojilov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg nenov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

### ЕДНО НЕРАВЕНСТВО ЗА ОБОБЩЕНИ ХРОМАТИЧНИ ГРАФИ

## Асен Божилов, Недялко Ненов

Нека G е n-върхов граф и редицата от степените на върховете му е  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$ , а V(G) е множеството от върховете на G. Степента на върха v бележим с d(v). Най-малкото естествено число r, за което V(G) има r-разлагане

$$V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_r, \quad V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset, \quad , i \neq j$$

такова, че  $d(v) \leq n - |V_i|, \, \forall v \in V_i, \, i=1,2,\ldots,r$  е означено с  $\varphi(G)$ . В тази работа доказваме неравенството

$$\varphi(G) \ge \frac{n}{n - \bar{d}},$$

където 
$$\bar{\bar{d}}=\sqrt{\dfrac{d_1^2+d_2^2+\cdots+d_n^2}{n}}.$$