

Minimal Pairs and
Quasi-Minimal Degrees for the
Joint Spectra of Structures

Alexandra A. Soskova
Sofia University

Degree Spectra of Structures

For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^a$:

$$f^{-1}(A) = \{\langle x_1 \dots x_a \rangle : (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_a)) \in A\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathbb{N}; R_1, \dots, R_k)$ be a countable abstract structure. An enumeration f of \mathfrak{A} is a total mapping from \mathbb{N} onto \mathbb{N} .

Denote by $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) = f^{-1}(R_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_k)$.

The Degree spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set

$$DS(\mathfrak{A}) = \{de(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) : f \text{ is an enumeration of } \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

The Co-spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set

$$CS(\mathfrak{A}) = \{b : (\forall a \in DS(\mathfrak{A}))(b \leq a)\}.$$

The set A is **enumeration reducible** to the set B (notation: $A \leq_e B$) if

$$(\exists z)(\forall x)[x \in A \iff (\exists u)[\langle x, u \rangle \in W_z \ \& \ D_u \subseteq B]].$$

The jump operation “ $'$ ” denotes here the enumeration jump introduced by Cooper.

Let B_0, \dots, B_n be arbitrary subsets of \mathbb{N} . Define the set $\mathcal{P}(B_0, \dots, B_i)$ as follows:

1. $\mathcal{P}(B_0) = B_0$;

2. If $i < n$, then

$$\mathcal{P}(B_0, \dots, B_{i+1}) = (\mathcal{P}(B_0, \dots, B_i))' \oplus B_{i+1}.$$

Joint Spectra of Structures

Let $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$ be abstract structures on \mathbb{N} .

The Joint spectrum of $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$ is the set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = \\ \{a : a \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_0), a' \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_1), \dots, a^{(n)} \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The k th Jump spectrum of $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$, $k \leq n$,
is the set

$$\text{DS}_k(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = \{a^{(k)} : a \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)\}.$$

The k th Co-spectrum of $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$, $k \leq n$,
is the set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CS}_k(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = \\ \{b : (\forall a \in \text{DS}_k(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n))(b \leq a)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{CS}_k(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_k, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = \text{CS}_k(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_k).$$

Forcing k - definable sets

Let f_0, \dots, f_n be enumerations of $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$.
Denote by $\bar{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ and

$$\mathcal{P}_k^{\bar{f}} = \mathcal{P}(f_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_0), \dots, f_k^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k)), \quad k = 0, \dots, n.$$

1. $\bar{f} \models_0 F_e(x) \iff (\exists v)(\langle v, x \rangle \in W_e \ \& \ D_v \subseteq f_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_0));$

2. $\bar{f} \models_{i+1} F_e(x) \iff (\exists v)(\langle v, x \rangle \in W_e \ \& \ (\forall u \in D_v)(u = \langle 0, e_u, x_u \rangle \ \& \ \bar{f} \models_i F_{e_u}(x_u) \ \vee \ u = \langle 1, e_u, x_u \rangle \ \& \ \bar{f} \models_i \neg F_{e_u}(x_u) \ \vee \ u = \langle 2, x_u \rangle \ \& \ x_u \in f_{i+1}^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_{i+1})));$

3. $\bar{f} \models_i \neg F_e(x) \iff \bar{f} \not\models_i F_e(x).$

$$A \leq_e \mathcal{P}_k^{\bar{f}} \iff (\exists e)(A = \{x : \bar{f} \models_k F_e(x)\}).$$

The *finite parts* are $n+1$ tuples $\bar{\tau} = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_n)$ of finite mappings τ_0, \dots, τ_n of \mathbb{N} in \mathbb{N} .

$$1. \bar{\tau} \Vdash_0 F_e(x) \iff (\exists v)(\langle v, x \rangle \in W_e \ \& \ D_v \subseteq \tau_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_0));$$

$$2. \bar{\tau} \Vdash_{i+1} F_e(x) \iff \exists v(\langle v, x \rangle \in W_e \ \& \ (\forall u \in D_v)(u = \langle 0, e_u, x_u \rangle \ \& \ \bar{\tau} \Vdash_i F_{e_u}(x_u) \ \vee \ u = \langle 1, e_u, x_u \rangle \ \& \ \bar{\tau} \Vdash_i \neg F_{e_u}(x_u) \ \vee \ u = \langle 2, x_u \rangle \ \& \ x_u \in \tau_{i+1}^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_{i+1})));$$

$$3. \bar{\tau} \Vdash_i \neg F_e(x) \iff (\forall \bar{\rho} \supseteq \bar{\tau})(\bar{\rho} \not\Vdash_i F_e(x)).$$

Generic enumerations

An enumeration \bar{f} of $\mathcal{A}_0, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ is **k -generic** if for every $j < k$, $e, x \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(\forall \bar{\tau} \subseteq \bar{f})(\exists \bar{\rho} \supseteq \bar{\tau})(\bar{\rho} \Vdash_j F_e(x)) \implies (\exists \bar{\tau} \subseteq \bar{f})(\bar{\tau} \Vdash_j F_e(x)).$$

1. If \bar{f} is a k -generic enumeration, then

$$\bar{f} \models_k F_e(x) \iff (\exists \bar{\tau} \subseteq \bar{f})(\bar{\tau} \Vdash_k F_e(x)).$$

2. If \bar{f} is a $(k + 1)$ -generic enumeration, then

$$\bar{f} \models_k \neg F_e(x) \iff (\exists \bar{\tau} \subseteq \bar{f})(\bar{\tau} \Vdash_k \neg F_e(x)).$$

The set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **forcing k -definable** on $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$ if there exist a finite part $\bar{\delta}$ and $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x \in A \iff (\exists \bar{\tau} \supseteq \bar{\delta})(\bar{\tau} \Vdash_k F_e(x)).$$

Theorem. *For every $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, the following are equivalent:*

1. $d_e(A) \in \text{CS}_k(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$.
2. $A \leq_e \mathcal{P}_k^{\bar{f}}$, for all $\bar{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_k)$ enumerations of $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_k$.
3. A is forcing k -definable on $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$.

Minimal Pair Theorem

Theorem. (Soskov) *For each constructive ordinal α there exist elements f and g of $DS(\mathfrak{A})$ such that for any enumeration degree a and any $\beta < \alpha$*

$$a \leq f^{(\beta)} \ \& \ a \leq g^{(\beta)} \Rightarrow a \in CS_{\beta}(\mathfrak{A}).$$

For all structures $\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$:

Theorem. *There exist enumeration degrees f and g in $DS(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$, such that for any enumeration degree a and $k \leq n$:*

$$a \leq f^{(k)} \ \& \ a \leq g^{(k)} \Rightarrow a \in CS_k(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) .$$

Proof We begin with arbitrary enumerations g_0, \dots, g_n of $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$. By Jump Inversion Theorem [Soskov] there exists a total set F , such that: $g_k^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) \leq_e F^{(k)}$, for each $k \leq n$, and $d_e(F) \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$.

Hence, there exist enumerations h_0, \dots, h_n of $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$, respectively, such that $h_k^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) \equiv_e F^{(k)}$ and then $F^{(k)} \equiv_e \mathcal{P}_k^{\bar{h}}$.

Then, we construct $(n + 1)$ -generic enumerations \bar{f} such that if a set $A \leq_e \mathcal{P}_k^{\bar{f}}$ and $A \leq_e \mathcal{P}_k^{\bar{h}}$, then A is forcing k -definable on $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$.

By Jump Inversion Theorem [Soskov] (omitting variant) there is a total set $G \in \text{DS}(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$, such that $f_k^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) \leq_e G^{(k)}$ and $G^{(k)}$ omits any $A \leq_e \mathcal{P}_k^{\bar{h}}$ which is not forcing k -definable on $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$.

If $X \leq_e F^{(k)}$ and $X \leq_e G^{(k)}$ and X is a total set then $d_e(X) \in \text{CS}_k(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$.

Quasi-Minimal Degree

We call an enumeration degree q_0 **quasi-minimal with respect to** $DS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ if $q_0 \notin CS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ and for every total degree a : if $a \geq q_0$, then $a \in DS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ and if $a \leq q_0$, then $a \in CS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$. [Soskov]

For all structures $\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$:

Theorem. *There exists an enumeration degree q such that:*

1. $q' \in DS(\mathfrak{A}_1), \dots, q^{(n)} \in DS(\mathfrak{A}_n)$,
 $q \notin CS(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$;
2. *If a is a total degree and $a \geq q$, then $a \in DS(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$;*
3. *If a is a total degree and $a \leq q$, then $a \in CS(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$.*

Proof (Sketch) Let q_0 be a quasi-minimal degree q_0 with respect to $DS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ [Soskov].

Let $B_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $d_e(B_0) = q_0$, and f_1, \dots, f_n be fixed total enumerations of $\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$. There is quasi-minimal over B_0 set F , such that $B_0 <_e F$, $f_k^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) \leq_e F^{(k)}$, $k \leq n$ and if $A \leq_e F$, then $A \leq_e B_0$, for any total set A . Then $q = d_e(F)$ is quasi-minimal with respect to $DS(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$.

Since q_0 is quasi-minimal with respect to $DS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$, $q_0 \notin CS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$. But $q_0 < q$ and thus $q \notin CS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$. Hence $q \notin CS(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$.

For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $q^{(k)} \in DS(\mathfrak{A}_k)$.

Let X be a total set, such that $X \geq_e F$. Then $d_e(X) \geq q_0$. From the fact that q_0 is quasi-minimal with respect to $DS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ it follows that $d_e(X) \in DS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$. Moreover for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $X^{(k)} \geq_e F^{(k)} \geq_e f_k^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k)$, and $X^{(k)}$ is a total set. Then for each $k \leq n$, $d_e(X^{(k)}) \in DS(\mathfrak{A}_k)$, and hence $d_e(X) \in DS(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$.

Suppose that X is a total set and $X \leq_e F$. Then, from the choice of F , since X is total, $X \leq_e B_0$. Apply again the quasi-minimality of q_0 and then $d_e(X) \in CS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$.

But $CS(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = CS(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ and therefore $d_e(X) \in CS(\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n)$.

The existence of the set F — quasi-minimal over B_0 , uses the technique of partial regular enumerations.