

# Complexity of some real numbers and functions with respect to the subrecursive class $\mathcal{M}^2$

Ivan Georgiev<sup>1</sup>

Prof. d-r Asen Zlatarov University, Burgas, Bulgaria

## **Computability and Complexity in Analysis**

Daejeon, Republic of Korea, 24-27 July 2017

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by the Bulgarian National Science Fund through contract DN-02-16/19.12.2016

# Introduction

This talk is about relative computability of real numbers and real functions.

# Introduction

This talk is about relative computability of real numbers and real functions. Our aim is to study the complexity of integration.

# Introduction

This talk is about relative computability of real numbers and real functions. Our aim is to study the complexity of integration.

The motivating question is:

*Given a real function  $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and real numbers  $a, b$ , which are efficiently computable, is it true that the real number*

$$\int_a^b \theta(x) dx$$

*is also efficiently computable?*

# Introduction

This talk is about relative computability of real numbers and real functions. Our aim is to study the complexity of integration.

The motivating question is:

*Given a real function  $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and real numbers  $a, b$ , which are efficiently computable, is it true that the real number*

$$\int_a^b \theta(x) dx$$

*is also efficiently computable?*

Our framework for complexity is subrecursive, that is we are interested in inductively defined classes of total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ , contained in the low levels of Grzegorzczuk's hierarchy.

The classes  $\mathcal{M}^2, \mathcal{L}^2, \mathcal{E}^2$

We denote  $\mathcal{T}_m = \{a \mid a : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{T}_m$ .

## The classes $\mathcal{M}^2, \mathcal{L}^2, \mathcal{E}^2$

We denote  $\mathcal{T}_m = \{a \mid a : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{T}_m$ .

The functions  $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_m$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ),  $\lambda x. x + 1$ ,  $\lambda xy. x \div y$ ,  $\lambda xy. xy$ , belonging to  $\mathcal{T}$ , will be called the initial functions.

## The classes $\mathcal{M}^2$ , $\mathcal{L}^2$ , $\mathcal{E}^2$

We denote  $\mathcal{T}_m = \{a \mid a : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{T}_m$ .

The functions  $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_m$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ),  $\lambda x. x + 1$ ,  $\lambda xy. x \div y$ ,  $\lambda xy. xy$ , belonging to  $\mathcal{T}$ , will be called the initial functions.

### Definition

The class  $\mathcal{M}^2$  is the smallest subclass of  $\mathcal{T}$ , which contains the initial functions and is closed under substitution and bounded minimization ( $f \mapsto \lambda \vec{x} y. \mu_{z \leq y} [f(\vec{x}, z) = 0]$ ).



## The classes $\mathcal{M}^2$ , $\mathcal{L}^2$ , $\mathcal{E}^2$

We denote  $\mathcal{T}_m = \{a \mid a : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{T}_m$ .

The functions  $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_m$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ),  $\lambda x. x + 1$ ,  $\lambda xy. x \div y$ ,  $\lambda xy. xy$ , belonging to  $\mathcal{T}$ , will be called the initial functions.

### Definition

The class  $\mathcal{M}^2$  is the smallest subclass of  $\mathcal{T}$ , which contains the initial functions and is closed under substitution and bounded minimization ( $f \mapsto \lambda \vec{x} y. \mu_{z \leq y} [f(\vec{x}, z) = 0]$ ).

The class  $\mathcal{L}^2$  has the same definition as  $\mathcal{M}^2$ , but bounded minimization is replaced by bounded summation.

## The classes $\mathcal{M}^2$ , $\mathcal{L}^2$ , $\mathcal{E}^2$

We denote  $\mathcal{T}_m = \{a \mid a : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{T}_m$ .

The functions  $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_m$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ),  $\lambda x. x + 1$ ,  $\lambda xy. x \div y$ ,  $\lambda xy. xy$ , belonging to  $\mathcal{T}$ , will be called the initial functions.

### Definition

The class  $\mathcal{M}^2$  is the smallest subclass of  $\mathcal{T}$ , which contains the initial functions and is closed under substitution and bounded minimization ( $f \mapsto \lambda \vec{x} y. \mu_{z \leq y} [f(\vec{x}, z) = 0]$ ).

The class  $\mathcal{L}^2$  has the same definition as  $\mathcal{M}^2$ , but bounded minimization is replaced by bounded summation.

The same for the class  $\mathcal{E}^2$ , where bounded minimization is replaced by limited primitive recursion.

## The classes $\mathcal{M}^2$ , $\mathcal{L}^2$ , $\mathcal{E}^2$

We denote  $\mathcal{T}_m = \{a \mid a : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{T}_m$ .

The functions  $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_m$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ),  $\lambda x. x + 1$ ,  $\lambda xy. x \div y$ ,  $\lambda xy. xy$ , belonging to  $\mathcal{T}$ , will be called the initial functions.

### Definition

The class  $\mathcal{M}^2$  is the smallest subclass of  $\mathcal{T}$ , which contains the initial functions and is closed under substitution and bounded minimization ( $f \mapsto \lambda \vec{x} y. \mu_{z \leq y} [f(\vec{x}, z) = 0]$ ).

The class  $\mathcal{L}^2$  has the same definition as  $\mathcal{M}^2$ , but bounded minimization is replaced by bounded summation.

The same for the class  $\mathcal{E}^2$ , where bounded minimization is replaced by limited primitive recursion.

We have  $\mathcal{M}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{E}^2$  and whether each of these inclusions is proper is an open question.

## Log-bounded sums

The classes  $\mathcal{L}^2$  and  $\mathcal{E}^2$  are closed under bounded summation, but it is not known whether the same is true for  $\mathcal{M}^2$ .

## Log-bounded sums

The classes  $\mathcal{L}^2$  and  $\mathcal{E}^2$  are closed under bounded summation, but it is not known whether the same is true for  $\mathcal{M}^2$ .

Nevertheless, we have the following:

### Theorem ([1])

*For any  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and any function  $f \in \mathcal{T}_{m+1} \cap \mathcal{M}^2$ , the function  $g \in \mathcal{T}_{m+1}$  defined by*

$$g(\vec{x}, y) = \sum_{z \leq \log_2^k(y+1)} f(\vec{x}, z)$$

*also belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^2$ .*

# Relative computability of real numbers

## Definition

The triple of functions  $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{T}_1^3$  is a **name** of the real number  $\xi$  iff for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{f(n) - g(n)}{h(n) + 1} - \xi \right| < \frac{1}{n + 1}.$$

# Relative computability of real numbers

## Definition

The triple of functions  $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{T}_1^3$  is a **name** of the real number  $\xi$  iff for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{f(n) - g(n)}{h(n) + 1} - \xi \right| < \frac{1}{n + 1}.$$

For a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions, a real number  $\xi$  is  **$\mathcal{F}$ -computable** iff there exists a triple  $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{F}^3$  which is a name of  $\xi$ .

# Relative computability of real numbers

## Definition

The triple of functions  $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{T}_1^3$  is a **name** of the real number  $\xi$  iff for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{f(n) - g(n)}{h(n) + 1} - \xi \right| < \frac{1}{n + 1}.$$

For a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions, a real number  $\xi$  is  **$\mathcal{F}$ -computable** iff there exists a triple  $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{F}^3$  which is a name of  $\xi$ .

For  $\mathcal{F} \in \{\mathcal{M}^2, \mathcal{L}^2, \mathcal{E}^2\}$  the set of all  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real numbers is a real-closed field.



# Relative computability of real numbers

## Definition

The triple of functions  $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{T}_1^3$  is a **name** of the real number  $\xi$  iff for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{f(n) - g(n)}{h(n) + 1} - \xi \right| < \frac{1}{n + 1}.$$

For a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions, a real number  $\xi$  is  **$\mathcal{F}$ -computable** iff there exists a triple  $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{F}^3$  which is a name of  $\xi$ .

For  $\mathcal{F} \in \{\mathcal{M}^2, \mathcal{L}^2, \mathcal{E}^2\}$  the set of all  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real numbers is a real-closed field. The numbers  $\pi$  and  $e$  are also  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable.

# Relative computability of real numbers

## Definition

The triple of functions  $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{T}_1^3$  is a **name** of the real number  $\xi$  iff for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{f(n) - g(n)}{h(n) + 1} - \xi \right| < \frac{1}{n + 1}.$$

For a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions, a real number  $\xi$  is  **$\mathcal{F}$ -computable** iff there exists a triple  $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{F}^3$  which is a name of  $\xi$ .

For  $\mathcal{F} \in \{\mathcal{M}^2, \mathcal{L}^2, \mathcal{E}^2\}$  the set of all  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real numbers is a real-closed field. The numbers  $\pi$  and  $e$  are also  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable.

A function  $S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable, if there exist  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{T}_{k+1} \cap \mathcal{F}$ , such that for all  $\vec{s} \in D$

$$(\lambda n.f(\vec{s}, n), \lambda n.g(\vec{s}, n), \lambda n.h(\vec{s}, n))$$

is a name for the real number  $S(\vec{s})$ .

## Computing real functions

For  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , a  $(k, m)$ -operator  $F$  is a total mapping  $F : \mathcal{T}_1^k \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_m$ .

## Computing real functions

For  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , a  $(k, m)$ -operator  $F$  is a total mapping  $F : \mathcal{T}_1^k \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_m$ . An operator is a  $(k, m)$ -operator for some  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Computing real functions

For  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , a  $(k, m)$ -operator  $F$  is a total mapping  $F : \mathcal{T}_1^k \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_m$ . An operator is a  $(k, m)$ -operator for some  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Definition

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta$  be a real function,  $\theta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ . The triple  $(F, G, H)$ , where  $F, G, H$  are  $(3k, 1)$ -operators, is called a **computing system** for  $\theta$  if for all  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) \in D$  and triples  $(f_i, g_i, h_i)$  that name  $\xi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , the triple

$$(F(f_1, g_1, h_1, f_2, g_2, h_2, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k),$$

$$G(f_1, g_1, h_1, f_2, g_2, h_2, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k),$$

$$H(f_1, g_1, h_1, f_2, g_2, h_2, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k))$$

names the real number  $\theta(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)$ .

## Computing real functions

For  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , a  $(k, m)$ -operator  $F$  is a total mapping  $F : \mathcal{T}_1^k \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_m$ . An operator is a  $(k, m)$ -operator for some  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Definition

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta$  be a real function,  $\theta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ . The triple  $(F, G, H)$ , where  $F, G, H$  are  $(3k, 1)$ -operators, is called a **computing system** for  $\theta$  if for all  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) \in D$  and triples  $(f_i, g_i, h_i)$  that name  $\xi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , the triple

$$(F(f_1, g_1, h_1, f_2, g_2, h_2, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k),$$

$$G(f_1, g_1, h_1, f_2, g_2, h_2, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k),$$

$$H(f_1, g_1, h_1, f_2, g_2, h_2, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k))$$

names the real number  $\theta(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)$ .

For a class  $\mathbf{O}$  of operators, the function  $\theta$  is **uniformly  $\mathbf{O}$ -computable**, if there exists a computing system  $(F, G, H)$  for  $\theta$ , such that  $F, G, H \in \mathbf{O}$ .

# Rudimentary operators

## Definition

The class **RO** of rudimentary operators is the smallest class of operators, such that:

# Rudimentary operators

## Definition

The class **RO** of rudimentary operators is the smallest class of operators, such that:

1. For any  $n, m$  and  $m$ -argument initial function  $a$ , the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by  $F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = a(\vec{x})$  belongs to **RO**.



# Rudimentary operators

## Definition

The class **RO** of rudimentary operators is the smallest class of operators, such that:

1. For any  $n, m$  and  $m$ -argument initial function  $a$ , the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by  $F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = a(\vec{x})$  belongs to **RO**.
2. For all  $n, k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the  $(n, 1)$ -operator  $F$  defined by  $F(f_1, \dots, f_n)(x) = f_k(x)$  belongs to **RO**.

# Rudimentary operators

## Definition

The class **RO** of **rudimentary operators** is the smallest class of operators, such that:

1. For any  $n, m$  and  $m$ -argument initial function  $a$ , the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by  $F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = a(\vec{x})$  belongs to **RO**.
2. For all  $n, k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the  $(n, 1)$ -operator  $F$  defined by  $F(f_1, \dots, f_n)(x) = f_k(x)$  belongs to **RO**.
3. For all  $n, m, k$ , if  $F_0$  is an  $(n, k)$ -operator and  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  are  $(n, m)$ -operators all belonging to **RO**, then the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by

$$F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = F_0(\vec{f})(F_1(\vec{f})(\vec{x}), \dots, F_k(\vec{f})(\vec{x}))$$

also belongs to **RO**.

# Rudimentary operators

## Definition

The class **RO** of rudimentary operators is the smallest class of operators, such that:

1. For any  $n, m$  and  $m$ -argument initial function  $a$ , the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by  $F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = a(\vec{x})$  belongs to **RO**.
2. For all  $n, k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the  $(n, 1)$ -operator  $F$  defined by  $F(f_1, \dots, f_n)(x) = f_k(x)$  belongs to **RO**.
3. For all  $n, m, k$ , if  $F_0$  is an  $(n, k)$ -operator and  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  are  $(n, m)$ -operators all belonging to **RO**, then the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by

$$F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = F_0(\vec{f})(F_1(\vec{f})(\vec{x}), \dots, F_k(\vec{f})(\vec{x}))$$

also belongs to **RO**.

4. For all  $m, n$ , if  $F_0$  is an  $(n, m + 1)$ -operator which belongs to **RO**, then so is the operator  $F$  defined by

$$F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}, y) = \mu_{z \leq y} [F_0(\vec{f})(\vec{x}, z) = 0].$$

## Log-rudimentary operators

The definition of the class **logRO** of **log-rudimentary** operators contains the same clauses as the definition for **RO** and also the following clause:

## Log-rudimentary operators

The definition of the class **logRO** of **log-rudimentary** operators contains the same clauses as the definition for **RO** and also the following clause:

5. For all  $m, n, k$ , if  $F_0$  is an  $(n, m + 1)$ -operator which belongs to **logRO**, then so is the operator  $F$  defined by

$$F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}, y) = \sum_{z \leq \log_2^k(y+1)} [F_0(\vec{f})(\vec{x}, z) = 0].$$

## Log-rudimentary operators

The definition of the class **logRO** of **log-rudimentary** operators contains the same clauses as the definition for **RO** and also the following clause:

5. For all  $m, n, k$ , if  $F_0$  is an  $(n, m + 1)$ -operator which belongs to **logRO**, then so is the operator  $F$  defined by

$$F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}, y) = \sum_{z \leq \log_2^k(y+1)} [F_0(\vec{f})(\vec{x}, z) = 0].$$

If there is a uniform definition of log-bounded summation for the class  $\mathcal{M}^2$ , then the same definition, easily modified for operators, will show that **RO** = **logRO**.

# $\mathcal{M}^2$ -substitutional operators

## Definition

The class **MSO** of  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -substitutional operators is the smallest class of operators, such that:

# $\mathcal{M}^2$ -substitutional operators

## Definition

The class **MSO** of  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -substitutional operators is the smallest class of operators, such that:

1. For all  $m, n, i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by  $F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = x_i$  belongs to **MSO**.



# $\mathcal{M}^2$ -substitutional operators

## Definition

The class **MSO** of  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -substitutional operators is the smallest class of operators, such that:

1. For all  $m, n, i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by  $F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = x_i$  belongs to **MSO**.
2. For any  $m, n$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , if  $F_0$  is an  $(n, m)$ -operator which belongs to **MSO**, then the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by

$$F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = f_k(F_0(\vec{f})(\vec{x}))$$

also belongs to **MSO**.

# $\mathcal{M}^2$ -substitutional operators

## Definition

The class **MSO** of  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -substitutional operators is the smallest class of operators, such that:

1. For all  $m, n, i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by  $F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = x_i$  belongs to **MSO**.
2. For any  $m, n$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , if  $F_0$  is an  $(n, m)$ -operator which belongs to **MSO**, then the  $(n, m)$ -operator  $F$  defined by

$$F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = f_k(F_0(\vec{f})(\vec{x}))$$

also belongs to **MSO**.

3. For any  $m, n, k$  and  $a \in \mathcal{T}_k \cap \mathcal{M}^2$ , if  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  are  $(n, m)$ -operators which belong to **MSO**, then so is the operator  $F$  defined by

$$F(\vec{f})(\vec{x}) = a(F_1(\vec{f})(\vec{x}), \dots, F_k(\vec{f})(\vec{x})).$$

## Some general results

It is easy to see that **MSO** is a proper subclass of **RO**.

## Some general results

It is easy to see that **MSO** is a proper subclass of **RO**.

All elementary functions of calculus, restricted to compact subsets of their domains, are uniformly **MSO**-computable.

## Some general results

It is easy to see that **MSO** is a proper subclass of **RO**.

All elementary functions of calculus, restricted to compact subsets of their domains, are uniformly **MSO**-computable.

The reason is that any real function, which is uniformly **MSO**-computable is bounded by a polynomial.

## Some general results

It is easy to see that **MSO** is a proper subclass of **RO**.

All elementary functions of calculus, restricted to compact subsets of their domains, are uniformly **MSO**-computable.

The reason is that any real function, which is uniformly **MSO**-computable is bounded by a polynomial.

This rules out the reciprocal function, the logarithmic function and the exponential function.

## Some general results

It is easy to see that **MSO** is a proper subclass of **RO**.

All elementary functions of calculus, restricted to compact subsets of their domains, are uniformly **MSO**-computable.

The reason is that any real function, which is uniformly **MSO**-computable is bounded by a polynomial.

This rules out the reciprocal function, the logarithmic function and the exponential function.

The pairs  $(\mathcal{M}^2, \mathbf{MSO})$ ,  $(\mathcal{M}^2, \mathbf{RO})$  and  $(\mathcal{M}^2, \mathbf{LogRO})$  are acceptable in the sense of [2].

## Some general results

It is easy to see that **MSO** is a proper subclass of **RO**.

All elementary functions of calculus, restricted to compact subsets of their domains, are uniformly **MSO**-computable.

The reason is that any real function, which is uniformly **MSO**-computable is bounded by a polynomial.

This rules out the reciprocal function, the logarithmic function and the exponential function.

The pairs  $(\mathcal{M}^2, \mathbf{MSO})$ ,  $(\mathcal{M}^2, \mathbf{RO})$  and  $(\mathcal{M}^2, \mathbf{LogRO})$  are acceptable in the sense of [2]. Therefore, by the characterization theorem of Skordev in [2], the following three conditions are equivalent for a real function  $\theta$ :

- ▶  $\theta$  is uniformly **MSO**-computable;
- ▶  $\theta$  is uniformly **RO**-computable;
- ▶  $\theta$  is uniformly **LogRO**-computable.



# First theorem on integration

## Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable and *analytic* real function. Then the definite integral  $\int_a^b \theta(x) dx$  is an  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real number.

# First theorem on integration

## Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable and *analytic* real function. Then the definite integral  $\int_a^b \theta(x) dx$  is an  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real number.

Because we can use only log-bounded sums, we need an exponentially convergent quadrature method.

# First theorem on integration

## Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable and *analytic* real function. Then the definite integral  $\int_a^b \theta(x) dx$  is an  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real number.

Because we can use only log-bounded sums, we need an exponentially convergent quadrature method.

By a linear change of variables we may assume  $[a, b] = [-1, 1]$ .

# First theorem on integration

## Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable and *analytic* real function. Then the definite integral  $\int_a^b \theta(x) dx$  is an  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real number.

Because we can use only log-bounded sums, we need an exponentially convergent quadrature method.

By a linear change of variables we may assume  $[a, b] = [-1, 1]$ .

Next we apply the so called *tanh-rule* and we obtain

$$\int_{-1}^1 \theta(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta(\tanh(t)) \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh^2(t)} dt$$

# First theorem on integration

## Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable and *analytic* real function. Then the definite integral  $\int_a^b \theta(x) dx$  is an  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real number.

Because we can use only log-bounded sums, we need an exponentially convergent quadrature method.

By a linear change of variables we may assume  $[a, b] = [-1, 1]$ .

Next we apply the so called *tanh-rule* and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \theta(x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta(\tanh(t)) \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh^2(t)} dt \\ &\approx h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \theta(\tanh(kh)) \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh^2(kh)} \end{aligned}$$

# First theorem on integration

## Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable and *analytic* real function. Then the definite integral  $\int_a^b \theta(x) dx$  is an  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real number.

Because we can use only log-bounded sums, we need an exponentially convergent quadrature method.

By a linear change of variables we may assume  $[a, b] = [-1, 1]$ .

Next we apply the so called *tanh-rule* and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \theta(x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta(\tanh(t)) \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh^2(t)} dt \\ &\approx h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \theta(\tanh(kh)) \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh^2(kh)} \\ &\approx h \sum_{k=-n}^n \theta(\tanh(kh)) \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh^2(kh)} = I_{h,n}. \end{aligned}$$

## First theorem on integration (continued)

By a careful choice  $h$  (depending on  $n$ ) and using the analyticity of  $g$  we can obtain

$$\left| I_n - \int_{-1}^1 \theta(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{M}{e^{A\sqrt{n}} - 1}$$

for some positive real constants  $A, M$

## First theorem on integration (continued)

By a careful choice  $h$  (depending on  $n$ ) and using the analyticity of  $g$  we can obtain

$$\left| I_n - \int_{-1}^1 \theta(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{M}{e^{A\sqrt{n}} - 1}$$

for some positive real constants  $A, M$  and therefore

$$\left| I_{\log_2^2(n+1)} - \int_{-1}^1 \theta(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{M}{(n+1)^A - 1}.$$



## Second theorem on integration

### Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable.

## Second theorem on integration

### Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable. Let there exist a real constant  $A > 0$ , such that for any fixed  $y \in D$ ,  $\theta$  has an analytic continuation defined in  $[a, b] \times [-A, A] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .

## Second theorem on integration

### Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable. Let there exist a real constant  $A > 0$ , such that for any fixed  $y \in D$ ,  $\theta$  has an analytic continuation defined in  $[a, b] \times [-A, A] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Let there also exist a polynomial  $P$ , such that  $|\theta(x \pm Bi, y)| \leq P(|y|)$  for all  $y \in D, x \in [a, b], B \leq A$ .

## Second theorem on integration

### Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable. Let there exist a real constant  $A > 0$ , such that for any fixed  $y \in D$ ,  $\theta$  has an analytic continuation defined in  $[a, b] \times [-A, A] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Let there also exist a polynomial  $P$ , such that  $|\theta(x \pm Bi, y)| \leq P(|y|)$  for all  $y \in D, x \in [a, b], B \leq A$ . Then the real function  $I : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$I(y) = \int_a^b \theta(x, y) dx$$

is uniformly **MSO**-computable.

## Second theorem on integration

### Theorem

Let  $a, b$  be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers and  $\theta : [a, b] \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable. Let there exist a real constant  $A > 0$ , such that for any fixed  $y \in D$ ,  $\theta$  has an analytic continuation defined in  $[a, b] \times [-A, A] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Let there also exist a polynomial  $P$ , such that  $|\theta(x \pm Bi, y)| \leq P(|y|)$  for all  $y \in D, x \in [a, b], B \leq A$ . Then the real function  $I : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$I(y) = \int_a^b \theta(x, y) dx$$

is uniformly **MSO**-computable.

The proof follows the same argument. The important thing to show is that the log-bounded sum of a uniformly **MSO**-computable real function is again uniformly **MSO**-computable. This requires the use of log-rudimentary operators.

## Third theorem on integration

### Theorem

*Let  $a$  be an  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real number. Let  $\theta : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable real function, which has an analytic continuation defined in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq a$ .*

## Third theorem on integration

### Theorem

Let  $a$  be an  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real number. Let  $\theta : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable real function, which has an analytic continuation defined in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq a$ . Let there exist a real constant  $A > 0$  and a polynomial  $P$ , such that  $|\theta(x + yi)| \leq P(|\xi|)$  for all  $\xi \geq a, x \in [a, \xi], |y| \leq A(\xi - a)$ .

## Third theorem on integration

### Theorem

Let  $a$  be an  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real number. Let  $\theta : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable real function, which has an analytic continuation defined in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq a$ . Let there exist a real constant  $A > 0$  and a polynomial  $P$ , such that  $|\theta(x + yi)| \leq P(|\xi|)$  for all  $\xi \geq a, x \in [a, \xi], |y| \leq A(\xi - a)$ . Then the real function  $I : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$I(\xi) = \int_a^\xi \theta(x) dx$$

is uniformly **MSO**-computable.



## Third theorem on integration

### Theorem

Let  $a$  be an  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real number. Let  $\theta : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly **MSO**-computable real function, which has an analytic continuation defined in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq a$ . Let there exist a real constant  $A > 0$  and a polynomial  $P$ , such that  $|\theta(x + yi)| \leq P(|\xi|)$  for all  $\xi \geq a, x \in [a, \xi], |y| \leq A(\xi - a)$ . Then the real function  $I : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$I(\xi) = \int_a^\xi \theta(x) dx$$

is uniformly **MSO**-computable.

By the linear change  $x = \frac{\xi - a}{2}t + \frac{\xi + a}{2}$  we have

$$I(\xi) = \int_a^\xi \theta(x) dx = \frac{\xi - a}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \theta\left(\frac{\xi - a}{2}t + \frac{\xi + a}{2}\right) dt$$

and we can apply the second theorem.

# Euler-Mascheroni constant

## Theorem

*Euler's constant  $\gamma$  is  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable.*

# Euler-Mascheroni constant

## Theorem

*Euler's constant  $\gamma$  is  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable.*

We use the following representation

$$\gamma = - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \ln x \, dx.$$

# Euler-Mascheroni constant

## Theorem

*Euler's constant  $\gamma$  is  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable.*

We use the following representation

$$\gamma = - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \ln x \, dx.$$

This integral is the sum of the following two integrals:

$$I_1 = \int_1^{\infty} e^{-x} \ln x \, dx,$$

$$I_2 = \int_0^1 e^{-x} \ln x \, dx = \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{t}} \ln t \frac{1}{t^2} \, dt,$$

which are easily seen to be  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable by using the third theorem.

## Euler-Mascheroni constant (continued)

In fact, by a careful estimation of the error of approximation, we can extract an actual sequence, which converges to  $\gamma$  with subexponential rate.

## Euler-Mascheroni constant (continued)

In fact, by a careful estimation of the error of approximation, we can extract an actual sequence, which converges to  $\gamma$  with subexponential rate. Let  $\phi(t)$  be the integrand of  $I_2$  and  $\psi(x)$  be the integrand of  $I_1$  and let us define

$$A(n) = \frac{\sqrt{e^{\sqrt{n}}}-1}{2\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=-n}^n \theta\left(\tanh\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \sqrt{e^{\sqrt{n}}}-1\right) \frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{n}}\right)},$$

where  $\theta(u, \xi) = \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{2} \cdot u + \frac{\xi+2}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{2} \cdot u + \frac{\xi+2}{2}\right)$ .

## Euler-Mascheroni constant (continued)

In fact, by a careful estimation of the error of approximation, we can extract an actual sequence, which converges to  $\gamma$  with subexponential rate. Let  $\phi(t)$  be the integrand of  $I_2$  and  $\psi(x)$  be the integrand of  $I_1$  and let us define

$$A(n) = \frac{\sqrt{e^{\sqrt{n}}} - 1}{2\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=-n}^n \theta\left(\tanh\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \sqrt{e^{\sqrt{n}}} - 1\right) \frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{n}}\right)},$$

where  $\theta(u, \xi) = \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{2} \cdot u + \frac{\xi+2}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{2} \cdot u + \frac{\xi+2}{2}\right)$ . Then

$$|A(n) - \gamma| \leq \frac{(\pi + 3)\sqrt{n} + 7\pi + 16}{\sqrt{e^{\sqrt{n}}}}$$

for all  $n > 0$ .

## Conditional computability of real functions

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\mathbf{O}$  be a class of operators.



## Conditional computability of real functions

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\mathbf{O}$  be a class of operators.

The real function  $\theta$  is **conditionally  $\mathbf{O}$ -computable**, if there exist a  $(3k, 1)$ -operator  $E \in \mathbf{O}$  and  $(3k, 2)$ -operators  $F, G, H \in \mathbf{O}$ ,

## Conditional computability of real functions

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\mathbf{O}$  be a class of operators.

The real function  $\theta$  is **conditionally  $\mathbf{O}$ -computable**, if there exist a  $(3k, 1)$ -operator  $E \in \mathbf{O}$  and  $(3k, 2)$ -operators  $F, G, H \in \mathbf{O}$ , such that for all  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in D$  and all triples  $(f_i, g_i, h_i)$  that name  $\xi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , the following two hold:

## Conditional computability of real functions

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\mathbf{O}$  be a class of operators.

The real function  $\theta$  is **conditionally  $\mathbf{O}$ -computable**, if there exist a  $(3k, 1)$ -operator  $E \in \mathbf{O}$  and  $(3k, 2)$ -operators  $F, G, H \in \mathbf{O}$ , such that for all  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in D$  and all triples  $(f_i, g_i, h_i)$  that name  $\xi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , the following two hold:

- ▶ There exists a natural number  $s$  satisfying the equality

$$E(f_1, g_1, h_1, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k)(s) = 0.$$

## Conditional computability of real functions

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\mathbf{O}$  be a class of operators.

The real function  $\theta$  is **conditionally  $\mathbf{O}$ -computable**, if there exist a  $(3k, 1)$ -operator  $E \in \mathbf{O}$  and  $(3k, 2)$ -operators  $F, G, H \in \mathbf{O}$ , such that for all  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in D$  and all triples  $(f_i, g_i, h_i)$  that name  $\xi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , the following two hold:

- ▶ There exists a natural number  $s$  satisfying the equality

$$E(f_1, g_1, h_1, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k)(s) = 0.$$

- ▶ For any natural number  $s$  satisfying the above equality, the triple  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{h})$  names the real number  $\theta(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ , where

$$\tilde{f} = \lambda t. F(f_1, g_1, h_1, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k)(s, t),$$

$$\tilde{g} = \lambda t. G(f_1, g_1, h_1, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k)(s, t),$$

$$\tilde{h} = \lambda t. H(f_1, g_1, h_1, \dots, f_k, g_k, h_k)(s, t).$$

## Properties of conditional computability

All uniformly **MSO**-computable real functions are conditionally **MSO**-computable, but not conversely.

## Properties of conditional computability

All uniformly **MSO**-computable real functions are conditionally **MSO**-computable, but not conversely.

All elementary functions of calculus, on their whole domains, are conditionally **MSO**-computable.

## Properties of conditional computability

All uniformly **MSO**-computable real functions are conditionally **MSO**-computable, but not conversely.

All elementary functions of calculus, on their whole domains, are conditionally **MSO**-computable.

Results in [3] show that

- ▶ Conditional **MSO**-computability is preserved by substitution.

## Properties of conditional computability

All uniformly **MSO**-computable real functions are conditionally **MSO**-computable, but not conversely.

All elementary functions of calculus, on their whole domains, are conditionally **MSO**-computable.

Results in [3] show that

- ▶ Conditional **MSO**-computability is preserved by substitution.
- ▶ All conditionally **MSO**-computable real functions are locally uniformly **MSO**-computable.



## Properties of conditional computability

All uniformly **MSO**-computable real functions are conditionally **MSO**-computable, but not conversely.

All elementary functions of calculus, on their whole domains, are conditionally **MSO**-computable.

Results in [3] show that

- ▶ Conditional **MSO**-computability is preserved by substitution.
- ▶ All conditionally **MSO**-computable real functions are locally uniformly **MSO**-computable.
- ▶ On compact domains, conditional **MSO**-computability and uniform **MSO**-computability are equivalent.

# Properties of conditional computability

All uniformly **MSO**-computable real functions are conditionally **MSO**-computable, but not conversely.

All elementary functions of calculus, on their whole domains, are conditionally **MSO**-computable.

Results in [3] show that

- ▶ Conditional **MSO**-computability is preserved by substitution.
- ▶ All conditionally **MSO**-computable real functions are locally uniformly **MSO**-computable.
- ▶ On compact domains, conditional **MSO**-computability and uniform **MSO**-computability are equivalent.

Moreover, the characterization theorem can be extended to show that for a real function  $\theta$ :

- ▶  $\theta$  is conditionally **MSO**-computable;
- ▶  $\theta$  is conditionally **RO**-computable;
- ▶  $\theta$  is conditionally **LogRO**-computable.

# Gamma function and Riemann zeta function

By using the results on integration, we can prove that the gamma function

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{s-1} e^{-x} dx$$

is conditionally **MSO**-computable for  $s > 0$ .

# Gamma function and Riemann zeta function

By using the results on integration, we can prove that the gamma function

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{s-1} e^{-x} dx$$

is conditionally **MSO**-computable for  $s > 0$ . It is even uniformly **MSO**-computable, if regarded as a real function with domain  $\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < s \leq 1, st \geq 1\}$ .

# Gamma function and Riemann zeta function

By using the results on integration, we can prove that the gamma function

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{s-1} e^{-x} dx$$

is conditionally **MSO**-computable for  $s > 0$ . It is even uniformly **MSO**-computable, if regarded as a real function with domain  $\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < s \leq 1, st \geq 1\}$ . A famous formula, which connects the Gamma function and the Riemann zeta function is

$$\zeta(s)\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} dx$$

for  $s > 1$ .

# Gamma function and Riemann zeta function

By using the results on integration, we can prove that the gamma function

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{s-1} e^{-x} dx$$

is conditionally **MSO**-computable for  $s > 0$ . It is even uniformly **MSO**-computable, if regarded as a real function with domain  $\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < s \leq 1, st \geq 1\}$ . A famous formula, which connects the Gamma function and the Riemann zeta function is

$$\zeta(s)\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} dx$$

for  $s > 1$ . It can be shown that the right-hand side of this equality is conditionally **MSO**-computable for  $s > 1$ .

## Gamma function and Riemann zeta function

By using the results on integration, we can prove that the gamma function






$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{s-1} e^{-x} dx$$

is conditionally **MSO**-computable for  $s > 0$ . It is even uniformly **MSO**-computable, if regarded as a real function with domain  $\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < s \leq 1, st \geq 1\}$ . A famous formula, which connects the Gamma function and the Riemann zeta function is

$$\zeta(s)\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} dx$$

for  $s > 1$ . It can be shown that the right-hand side of this equality is conditionally **MSO**-computable for  $s > 1$ . It follows that the same is true for the Riemann zeta function.

# Bibliography

-  J. Paris, A. Wilkie & A. Woods. Provability of the pigeonhole principle and the existence of infinitely many primes. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 53(4):1235–1244, 1998.
-  D. Skordev. On some computability notions for real functions. *Computability*, 2:67–73, 2013.
-  D. Skordev & I. Georgiev. On a relative computability notion for real functions. *CIE Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 6735:270–279, 2011.
-  D. Skordev, A. Weiermann & I. Georgiev.  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable real numbers. *Journal of Logic and Computation*, 22(4):899–925, 2012.
-  L. N. Trefethen & J. A. C. Weideman. The exponentially convergent trapezoidal rule. *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 56(3):385–458, 2014.



Thank you for your attention!