

Definability of jump operator in the ω -enumeration degrees

Andrey Sariev
(joint work with Hristo Ganchev¹)

Sofia University
Sofia, Bulgaria

PLS 11,
Delphi, 2017

¹The authors were partially supported by an BNSF MON Grant No. DN02/16 and by Sofia University Science Fund, project 80-10-147/21.04.2017

ω -enumeration reducibility and degrees

- For a given sequence $\mathcal{A} = \{A_k\}_{k < \omega}$ of sets of natural numbers, denote

$$J(\mathcal{A}) = \{deg_T(X) : A_k \leq_{c.e.} X_T^{(k)} \text{ uniformly in } k\}.$$

•

$$\mathcal{A} \leq_{\omega} \mathcal{B} \iff J(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq J(\mathcal{A});$$

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\omega} \mathcal{B} \iff J(\mathcal{B}) = J(\mathcal{A}).$$

- Given sequence of sets of natural numbers \mathcal{A} , set $deg_{\omega}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \equiv_{\omega} \mathcal{A}\}$;
- Denote by \mathcal{D}_{ω} the set of all ω -enumeration degrees.

ω -enumeration reducibility and degrees

- For a given sequence $\mathcal{A} = \{A_k\}_{k < \omega}$ of sets of natural numbers, denote

$$J(\mathcal{A}) = \{\text{deg}_T(X) : A_k \leq_{c.e.} X_T^{(k)} \text{ uniformly in } k\}.$$



$$\mathcal{A} \leq_{\omega} \mathcal{B} \iff J(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq J(\mathcal{A});$$

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\omega} \mathcal{B} \iff J(\mathcal{B}) = J(\mathcal{A}).$$

- Given sequence of sets of natural numbers \mathcal{A} , set $\text{deg}_{\omega}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \equiv_{\omega} \mathcal{A}\}$;
- Denote by \mathcal{D}_{ω} the set of all ω -enumeration degrees.

ω -enumeration reducibility and degrees

- For a given sequence $\mathcal{A} = \{A_k\}_{k < \omega}$ of sets of natural numbers, denote

$$J(\mathcal{A}) = \{\text{deg}_T(X) : A_k \leq_{c.e.} X_T^{(k)} \text{ uniformly in } k\}.$$

-

$$\mathcal{A} \leq_{\omega} \mathcal{B} \iff J(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq J(\mathcal{A});$$

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\omega} \mathcal{B} \iff J(\mathcal{B}) = J(\mathcal{A}).$$

- Given sequence of sets of natural numbers \mathcal{A} , set $\text{deg}_{\omega}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \equiv_{\omega} \mathcal{A}\}$;
- Denote by \mathcal{D}_{ω} the set of all ω -enumeration degrees.

ω -enumeration reducibility and degrees

\mathcal{D}_ω is an upper semi-lattice:

- partial order: $deg_\omega(\mathcal{A}) \leq deg_\omega(\mathcal{B}) \iff \mathcal{A} \leq_\omega \mathcal{B}$;
- least element: $\mathbf{0}_\omega = deg_\omega(\{\emptyset\}_{k < \omega})$;
- l.u.b.: $deg_\omega(\{A_k\}_{k < \omega}) \vee deg_\omega(\{B_k\}_{k < \omega}) = deg_\omega(\{A_k \oplus B_k\}_{k < \omega})$.

ω -enumeration reducibility and degrees

\mathcal{D}_ω is an upper semi-lattice:

- partial order: $deg_\omega(\mathcal{A}) \leq deg_\omega(\mathcal{B}) \iff \mathcal{A} \leq_\omega \mathcal{B}$;
- least element: $0_\omega = deg_\omega(\{\emptyset\}_{k < \omega})$;
- l.u.b.: $deg_\omega(\{A_k\}_{k < \omega}) \vee deg_\omega(\{B_k\}_{k < \omega}) = deg_\omega(\{A_k \oplus B_k\}_{k < \omega})$.

ω -enumeration reducibility and degrees

\mathcal{D}_ω is an upper semi-lattice:

- partial order: $deg_\omega(\mathcal{A}) \leq deg_\omega(\mathcal{B}) \iff \mathcal{A} \leq_\omega \mathcal{B}$;
- least element: $\mathbf{0}_\omega = deg_\omega(\{\emptyset\}_{k < \omega})$;
- l.u.b.: $deg_\omega(\{A_k\}_{k < \omega}) \vee deg_\omega(\{B_k\}_{k < \omega}) = deg_\omega(\{A_k \oplus B_k\}_{k < \omega})$.

ω -enumeration reducibility and degrees

\mathcal{D}_ω is an upper semi-lattice:

- partial order: $\text{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{A}) \leq \text{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{B}) \iff \mathcal{A} \leq_\omega \mathcal{B}$;
- least element: $\mathbf{0}_\omega = \text{deg}_\omega(\{\emptyset\}_{k < \omega})$;
- l.u.b.: $\text{deg}_\omega(\{A_k\}_{k < \omega}) \vee \text{deg}_\omega(\{B_k\}_{k < \omega}) = \text{deg}_\omega(\{A_k \oplus B_k\}_{k < \omega})$.

jump operation, jump inversion

- Define $(A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots)' = (A'_0 \oplus A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots)$;
- $\mathcal{A} <_\omega \mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{A} \leq_\omega \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \leq_\omega \mathcal{B}'$;
- $\text{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{A})' = \text{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{A}')$;
 $\{\emptyset^{(k+1)}\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{0}'_\omega$.

- least jump inversion:

For each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$ above $\mathbf{0}_\omega^{(n)}$ there exists a least solution to the equation

$$\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = \mathbf{a}.$$

jump operation, jump inversion

- Define $(A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots)' = (A'_0 \oplus A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots)$;
- $\mathcal{A} <_\omega \mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{A} \leq_\omega \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \leq_\omega \mathcal{B}'$;
- $\text{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{A})' = \text{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{A}')$;
 $\{\emptyset^{(k+1)}\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{0}'_\omega$.
- least jump inversion:
For each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$ above $\mathbf{0}_\omega^{(n)}$ there exists a least solution to the equation

$$\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = \mathbf{a}.$$

jump operation, jump inversion

- Define $(A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots)' = (A'_0 \oplus A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots)$;
- $\mathcal{A} <_\omega \mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{A} \leq_\omega \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \leq_\omega \mathcal{B}'$;
- $\text{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{A})' = \text{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{A}')$;
 $\{\emptyset^{(k+1)}\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{0}'_\omega$.

- least jump inversion:

For each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$ above $\mathbf{0}_\omega^{(n)}$ there exists a least solution to the equation

$$\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = \mathbf{a}.$$

jump operation, jump inversion

- Define $(A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots)' = (A'_0 \oplus A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots)$;
- $\mathcal{A} <_\omega \mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{A} \leq_\omega \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \leq_\omega \mathcal{B}'$;
- $\text{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{A})' = \text{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{A}')$;
 $\{\emptyset^{(k+1)}\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{0}'_\omega$.
- least jump inversion:
For each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$ above $\mathbf{0}_\omega^{(n)}$ there exists a least solution to the equation

$$\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = \mathbf{a}.$$

Embedding of enumeration degrees. Definability

- $\kappa : \mathcal{D}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\omega$ defined by

$$\kappa(\text{deg}_e(A)) = \text{deg}_\omega(A, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots)$$

is an embedding which preserves:

- ▶ the order: $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y} \implies \kappa(\mathbf{x}) \leq \kappa(\mathbf{y})$,
 - ▶ the l.u.b. operation: $\kappa(\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y}) = \kappa(\mathbf{x}) \vee \kappa(\mathbf{y})$,
 - ▶ and the jump: $\kappa(\mathbf{x}') = \kappa(\mathbf{x})'$;
- the range of κ is denoted by \mathbf{D}_1 , $\mathbf{D}_1 = \kappa[\mathcal{D}_e]$;
 - (Soskov, Ganchev) \mathbf{D}_1 is definable in \mathcal{D}_ω by a first-order formula in the language $\mathcal{L}(\leq, ')$;
 - (Soskov, Ganchev) $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_e) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}'_\omega)$;

Embedding of enumeration degrees. Definability

- $\kappa : \mathcal{D}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\omega$ defined by

$$\kappa(\text{deg}_e(A)) = \text{deg}_\omega(A, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots)$$

is an embedding which preserves:

- ▶ the order: $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y} \implies \kappa(\mathbf{x}) \leq \kappa(\mathbf{y})$,
 - ▶ the l.u.b. operation: $\kappa(\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y}) = \kappa(\mathbf{x}) \vee \kappa(\mathbf{y})$,
 - ▶ and the jump: $\kappa(\mathbf{x}') = \kappa(\mathbf{x})'$;
- the range of κ is denoted by \mathbf{D}_1 , $\mathbf{D}_1 = \kappa[\mathcal{D}_e]$;
 - (Soskov, Ganchev) \mathbf{D}_1 is definable in \mathcal{D}_ω by a first-order formula in the language $\mathcal{L}(\leq, ')$;
 - (Soskov, Ganchev) $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_e) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}'_\omega)$;

Embedding of enumeration degrees. Definability

- $\kappa : \mathcal{D}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\omega$ defined by

$$\kappa(\text{deg}_e(A)) = \text{deg}_\omega(A, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots)$$

is an embedding which preserves:

- ▶ the order: $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y} \implies \kappa(\mathbf{x}) \leq \kappa(\mathbf{y})$,
 - ▶ the l.u.b. operation: $\kappa(\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y}) = \kappa(\mathbf{x}) \vee \kappa(\mathbf{y})$,
 - ▶ and the jump: $\kappa(\mathbf{x}') = \kappa(\mathbf{x})'$;
- the range of κ is denoted by \mathbf{D}_1 , $\mathbf{D}_1 = \kappa[\mathcal{D}_e]$;
 - (Soskov, Ganchev) \mathbf{D}_1 is definable in \mathcal{D}_ω by a first-order formula in the language $\mathcal{L}(\leq, ')$;
 - (Soskov, Ganchev) $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_e) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}'_\omega)$;

Embedding of enumeration degrees. Definability

- $\kappa : \mathcal{D}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\omega$ defined by

$$\kappa(\text{deg}_e(A)) = \text{deg}_\omega(A, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots)$$

is an embedding which preserves:

- ▶ the order: $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y} \implies \kappa(\mathbf{x}) \leq \kappa(\mathbf{y})$,
 - ▶ the l.u.b. operation: $\kappa(\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y}) = \kappa(\mathbf{x}) \vee \kappa(\mathbf{y})$,
 - ▶ and the jump: $\kappa(\mathbf{x}') = \kappa(\mathbf{x})'$;
- the range of κ is denoted by \mathbf{D}_1 , $\mathbf{D}_1 = \kappa[\mathcal{D}_e]$;
 - (Soskov, Ganchev) \mathbf{D}_1 is definable in \mathcal{D}_ω by a first-order formula in the language $\mathcal{L}(\leq, ')$;
 - (Soskov, Ganchev) $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_e) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}'_\omega)$;

A property of the least enumeration degree

- $\mathbf{0}_e$ is the only enumeration degree \mathbf{x} , such that:

$$(\forall \mathbf{y})[\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}_{e'} \rightarrow \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}_{e'}].$$

- (Ganchev, M. Soskova) for every nonzero enumeration degree $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}_e$, \mathbf{u}' is the greatest among the all least upper bounds $\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b}$ of nontrivial \mathcal{K} -pairs $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$, such that $\mathbf{a} \leq_e \mathbf{u}$.

A property of the least enumeration degree

- $\mathbf{0}_e$ is the only enumeration degree \mathbf{x} , such that:

$$(\forall \mathbf{y})[\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}_{e'} \rightarrow \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}_{e'}].$$

- (Ganchev, M. Soskova) for every nonzero enumeration degree $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}_e$, \mathbf{u}' is the greatest among the all least upper bounds $\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b}$ of nontrivial \mathcal{K} -pairs $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$, such that $\mathbf{a} \leq_e \mathbf{u}$.

Definability of the enumeration degrees

- What is the class of ω -enumeration degrees, defined by the above formula?
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D}_\omega \mid (\exists \{X_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{x})[X_0 = \emptyset]\};$
- (Ganchev, S.): $\mathbf{0}'_\omega$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\mu(\mathbf{a})$ is the least (ω -enumeration) degree \mathbf{x} , for which exists degree $\mathbf{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ s. t. $\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}$;
- $\{A_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{a} \implies (A_0, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots) \in \mu(\mathbf{a})$;
- $\mathbf{D}_1 = \{\mu(\mathbf{a}) \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega\}$ is first-order definable;

Definability of the enumeration degrees

- What is the class of ω -enumeration degrees, defined by the above formula?
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D}_\omega \mid (\exists \{X_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{x})[X_0 = \emptyset]\};$
- (Ganchev, S.): $\mathbf{0}'_\omega$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\mu(\mathbf{a})$ is the least (ω -enumeration) degree \mathbf{x} , for which exists degree $\mathbf{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ s. t. $\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}$;
- $\{A_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{a} \implies (A_0, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots) \in \mu(\mathbf{a})$;
- $\mathbf{D}_1 = \{\mu(\mathbf{a}) \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega\}$ is first-order definable;

Definability of the enumeration degrees

- What is the class of ω -enumeration degrees, defined by the above formula?
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D}_\omega \mid (\exists \{X_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{x})[X_0 = \emptyset]\};$
- (Ganchev, S.): $\mathbf{0}'_\omega$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\mu(\mathbf{a})$ is the least (ω -enumeration) degree \mathbf{x} , for which exists degree $\mathbf{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ s. t. $\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}$;
- $\{A_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{a} \implies (A_0, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots) \in \mu(\mathbf{a})$;
- $\mathbf{D}_1 = \{\mu(\mathbf{a}) \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega\}$ is first-order definable;

Definability of the enumeration degrees

- What is the class of ω -enumeration degrees, defined by the above formula?
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D}_\omega \mid (\exists \{X_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{x})[X_0 = \emptyset]\};$
- (Ganchev, S.): $\mathbf{0}'_\omega$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\mu(\mathbf{a})$ is the least (ω -enumeration) degree \mathbf{x} , for which exists degree $\mathbf{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ s. t. $\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}$;
- $\{A_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{a} \implies (A_0, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots) \in \mu(\mathbf{a})$;
- $\mathbf{D}_1 = \{\mu(\mathbf{a}) \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega\}$ is first-order definable;

Definability of the enumeration degrees

- What is the class of ω -enumeration degrees, defined by the above formula?
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D}_\omega \mid (\exists \{X_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{x})[X_0 = \emptyset]\};$
- (Ganchev, S.): $\mathbf{0}'_\omega$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\mu(\mathbf{a})$ is the least (ω -enumeration) degree \mathbf{x} , for which exists degree $\mathbf{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ s. t. $\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}$;
- $\{A_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{a} \implies (A_0, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots) \in \mu(\mathbf{a})$;
- $\mathbf{D}_1 = \{\mu(\mathbf{a}) \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega\}$ is first-order definable;

Definability of the enumeration degrees

- What is the class of ω -enumeration degrees, defined by the above formula?
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D}_\omega \mid (\exists \{X_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{x})[X_0 = \emptyset]\};$
- (Ganchev, S.): $\mathbf{0}'_\omega$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\mu(\mathbf{a})$ is the least (ω -enumeration) degree \mathbf{x} , for which exists degree $\mathbf{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ s. t. $\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}$;
- $\{A_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{a} \implies (A_0, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots) \in \mu(\mathbf{a})$;
- $\mathbf{D}_1 = \{\mu(\mathbf{a}) \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega\}$ is first-order definable;

Definability of the enumeration degrees

- What is the class of ω -enumeration degrees, defined by the above formula?
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D}_\omega \mid (\exists \{X_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{x})[X_0 = \emptyset]\};$
- (Ganchev, S.): $\mathbf{0}'_\omega$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ is first-order definable in \mathcal{D}_ω ;
- $\mu(\mathbf{a})$ is the least (ω -enumeration) degree \mathbf{x} , for which exists degree $\mathbf{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_1$ s. t. $\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}$.;
- $\{A_k\}_{k < \omega} \in \mathbf{a} \implies (A_0, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \dots) \in \mu(\mathbf{a})$;
- $\mathbf{D}_1 = \{\mu(\mathbf{a}) \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega\}$ is first-order definable;

Definability of the jump

- Definability of the jump operation is equivalent to the definability of \mathbf{D}_1
- - 1 (Kalimullin) The jump is definable in the substructure (\mathbf{D}_1, \leq) ;
 - 2 (Ganchev) for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$, there are $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{D}_1$, s. t.

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y};$$

- 3 (Ganchev) the jump preserves the g.l.b. operation:

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y} \implies \mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{x}' \wedge \mathbf{y}'$$

- The jump operation is definable in the structure of the ω -enumeration degrees.

Definability of the jump

- Definability of the jump operation is equivalent to the definability of \mathbf{D}_1
- ① (Kalimullin) The jump is definable in the substructure (\mathbf{D}_1, \leq) ;
② (Ganchev) for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$, there are $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{D}_1$, s. t.

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y};$$

- ③ (Ganchev) the jump preserves the g.l.b. operation:

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y} \implies \mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{x}' \wedge \mathbf{y}'$$

- The jump operation is definable in the structure of the ω -enumeration degrees.

Definability of the jump

- Definability of the jump operation is equivalent to the definability of \mathbf{D}_1
- ① (Kalimullin) The jump is definable in the substructure (\mathbf{D}_1, \leq) ;
- ② (Ganchev) for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$, there are $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{D}_1$, s. t.

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y};$$

- ③ (Ganchev) the jump preserves the g.l.b. operation:

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y} \implies \mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{x}' \wedge \mathbf{y}'$$

- The jump operation is definable in the structure of the ω -enumeration degrees.

Definability of the jump

- Definability of the jump operation is equivalent to the definability of \mathbf{D}_1
- ① (Kalimullin) The jump is definable in the substructure (\mathbf{D}_1, \leq) ;
- ② (Ganchev) for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$, there are $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{D}_1$, s. t.

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y};$$

- ③ (Ganchev) the jump preserves the g.l.b. operation:

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y} \implies \mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{x}' \wedge \mathbf{y}'$$

- The jump operation is definable in the structure of the ω -enumeration degrees.

Definability of the jump

- Definability of the jump operation is equivalent to the definability of \mathbf{D}_1
- ① (Kalimullin) The jump is definable in the substructure (\mathbf{D}_1, \leq) ;
- ② (Ganchev) for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$, there are $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{D}_1$, s. t.

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y};$$

- ③ (Ganchev) the jump preserves the g.l.b. operation:

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y} \implies \mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{x}' \wedge \mathbf{y}'$$

- The jump operation is definable in the structure of the ω -enumeration degrees.

Thank You!