

ГОДИШНИК НА СОФИЙСКИЯ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ „СВ. КЛИМЕНТ ОХРИДСКИ“

ФАКУЛТЕТ ПО МАТЕМАТИКА И ИНФОРМАТИКА

Том 104

ANNUAL OF SOFIA UNIVERSITY „ST. KLIMENT OHRIDSKI“

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS

Volume 104

WEIGHTED APPROXIMATION IN UNIFORM NORM BY MEYER-KÖNIG AND ZELLER OPERATORS

IVAN GADJEV, PARVAN E. PARVANOV

The weighted approximation errors of Meyer-König and Zeller operator is characterized for weights of the form $w(x) = x^{\gamma_0}(1-x)^{\gamma_1}$, where $\gamma_0 \in [-1, 0]$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Direct inequalities and strong converse inequalities of type A are proved in terms of the weighted K -functional.

Keywords: Meyer-König and Zeller operator, K -functional, direct theorem, strong converse theorem, weighted approximation.

2000 Math. Subject Classification: 41A36, 41A25, 41A27, 41A17.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

The classical Meyer-König and Zeller (MKZ) operator is defined for functions $f \in C[0, 1]$ by the formula

$$M_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n+k}\right) m_{n,k}(x), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$m_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n+k}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n+1}.$$

Right after their appearance, the MKZ operators became a subject of serious investigations. A reason for this is that they allow approximation of functions

unbounded at the point 1 (which is not the case with Bernstein polynomials). However, the fact that the function values are taken at the points $\frac{k}{n+k}$ creates some additional difficulties when working with these operators.

In this paper we investigate the weighted approximation of functions by the classical variant of MKZ operator in uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}$, i.e. we want to characterize the weighted error of approximation $\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |w(x)f(x)|$, where

$$w(x) = x^{\gamma_0}(1-x)^{\gamma_1} \tag{1.2}$$

are the Jacobi weights.

In the unweighted case $w(x) = 1$ a direct theorem was proved in [4], and a strong converse inequality of type A (in the terminology of [3]) was proved in [5]. Regarding the weighted case, the first results were obtained by Becker and Nessel in [2], where they proved direct theorems for some symmetrical weights $w(x) = \varphi^\alpha(x)$. Here, $\varphi(x) = x(1-x)^2$ is the weight function naturally connected with the second derivative of MKZ operators.

In [10] Totik established that for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\varphi(x) = x(1-x)^2$ the condition

$$\varphi^\alpha |\Delta_h^2(f, x)| \leq Kh^{2\alpha}$$

is equivalent to

$$M_n f - f = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha}).$$

In [9] the authors proved that for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$ the condition

$$|M_n f(x) - f(x)| = \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\varphi^{(1-\lambda)/2}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^\alpha\right)$$

is equivalent to

$$\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda/2}}^2(f, t) = \mathcal{O}(t^\alpha).$$

Here $\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda/2}}^2(f, t)$ are the modulus of Ditzian-Totik of second order

$$\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda/2}}^2(f, t) = \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \sup_{x \pm h\varphi^{\lambda/2}(x) \in [0,1]} |\Delta_{h\varphi^{\lambda/2}(x)}^2 f(x)|.$$

In [7] Holhoş proved the next direct inequality for weights $\gamma_0 = 0, \gamma_1 > 0$:

$$\|w(M_n f - f)\|_{[0,1]} \leq 2\omega\left(f(1 - e^{-t})e^{-\gamma_1 t}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \frac{\gamma_1 C(\gamma_1)}{\sqrt{n}} \|wf\|_{[0,1]}.$$

In this paper we prove better results than the results mentioned above. But before stating our main result, let us introduce some notation and definitions. The first derivative operator is denoted by $D = \frac{d}{dx}$. Thus, $Dg(x) = g'(x)$ and $D^2g(x) = g''(x)$. By $C[0, 1]$ we denote the space of functions continuous on $[0, 1]$. The functions from $C[0, 1]$ are not expected to be continuous or bounded at 1. By

$L_\infty[0, 1)$ we denote the space of Lebesgue measurable and essentially bounded in $[0, 1)$ functions equipped with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{[0,1)}$. For a weight function w we set

$$\begin{aligned} C(w)[0, 1) &= \{g \in C[0, 1); \quad wg \in L_\infty[0, 1)\}, \\ W^2(w\varphi)[0, 1) &= \{g, Dg \in AC_{loc}(0, 1) \ \& \ w\varphi D^2g \in L_\infty[0, 1)\}, \\ W^3(w\varphi^{3/2})[0, 1) &= \left\{g, Dg, D^2g \in AC_{loc}(0, 1) \ \& \ w\varphi^{3/2}D^3g \in L_\infty[0, 1)\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $AC_{loc}(0, 1)$ is the set of functions which are absolutely continuous in $[a, b]$ for every $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$.

The weighted approximation error $\|w(f - M_n f)\|_{[0,1)}$ will be compared with the K-functional between the weighted spaces $C(w)[0, 1)$ and $W^2(w\varphi)[0, 1)$, which for every

$$f \in C(w)[0, 1) + W^2(w\varphi)[0, 1) := \{f_1 + f_2 : f_1 \in C(w)[0, 1), f_2 \in W^2(w\varphi)[0, 1)\}$$

and $t > 0$ is defined by

$$K_w(f, t)_{[0,1)} = \inf_{g \in W^2(w\varphi), f-g \in C(w)} \{\|w(f - g)\|_{[0,1)} + t\|w\varphi D^2g\|_{[0,1)}\}. \quad (1.3)$$

Our main result is the following theorem, which establishes a full equivalence between the K-functional $K_w(f, \frac{1}{n})_{[0,1)}$ and the weighted error $\|w(M_n f - f)\|_{[0,1)}$.

Theorem 1. *For w defined by (1.2), where $\gamma_0 \in [-1, 0]$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 and L such that for every natural $n \geq L$ and for all*

$$f \in C(w)[0, 1) + W^2(w\varphi)[0, 1)$$

there holds

$$C_1 \|w(M_n f - f)\|_{[0,1)} \leq K_w\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{[0,1)} \leq C_2 \|w(M_n f - f)\|_{[0,1)}. \quad (1.4)$$

The proof is based on a method, used for the first time in [8]. In short, its idea is the following: by making an appropriate transformation, we move to Baskakov operators, for which we have the needed estimations, and then go back by the inverse transformation.

2. A CONNECTION BETWEEN BASKAKOV AND MKZ OPERATORS

Following [8], we introduce a transformation T mapping functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ into functions defined on $[0, 1)$. We make the agreement that, from now on, we shall denote variables, functions and operators, defined in $[0, 1)$ the usual way, and their analogs, defined in $[0, \infty)$, with tilde.

Now we give some notation and definitions. The uniform norm on the interval $[0, \infty)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{[0, \infty)}$, and we define the following function spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} C(\tilde{w})[0, \infty) &= \{\tilde{g} \in C[0, \infty); \quad \tilde{w}\tilde{g} \in L_\infty[0, \infty)\}, \\ W^2(\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi})[0, \infty) &= \left\{ \tilde{g}, \tilde{D}\tilde{g} \in AC_{loc}(0, \infty) \ \& \ \tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{g} \in L_\infty[0, \infty) \right\}, \\ W^3(\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}^{3/2})[0, \infty) &= \left\{ \tilde{g}, \tilde{D}\tilde{g}, \tilde{D}^2\tilde{g} \in AC_{loc}(0, \infty) \ \& \ \tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}^{3/2}\tilde{D}^3\tilde{g} \in L_\infty[0, \infty) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The weighted error by Baskakov operators will be characterized by the next K-functional, defined for every function $\tilde{f} \in C(\tilde{w})[0, \infty) + W^2(\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi})[0, \infty)$ and for every $t > 0$ by the formula

$$K_{\tilde{w}}(\tilde{f}, t)_{[0, \infty)} = \inf \left\{ \|\tilde{w}(\tilde{f} - \tilde{g})\|_{[0, \infty)} + t \left\| \tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{g} \right\|_{[0, \infty)} \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the infimum is taken over functions $\tilde{g} \in W^2(\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi})[0, \infty)$ such that $\tilde{f} - \tilde{g} \in C(\tilde{w})[0, \infty)$.

We start with the change of variable $\sigma : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ (used for the first time by V.Totik in [10]) given by

$$\tilde{x} = \sigma(x) = \frac{x}{1-x}. \quad (2.2)$$

Then the inverse change of variable $\sigma^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is

$$x = \sigma^{-1}(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\tilde{x}}{1+\tilde{x}}.$$

The transformation operator T , transforming a function \tilde{f} defined on $[0, \infty)$ to a function f defined on $[0, 1)$ is defined by

$$f(x) = T(\tilde{f})(x) = \lambda(x)(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma)(x), \quad \lambda(x) = 1-x. \quad (2.3)$$

Then the inverse operator T^{-1} , transforming a function f defined on $[0, 1)$ to a function \tilde{f} defined on $[0, \infty)$ is

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) = T^{-1}(f)(\tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{(\lambda \circ \sigma^{-1})(\tilde{x})} (f \circ \sigma^{-1})(\tilde{x}).$$

We want to estimate the weighted error by MKZ, so we define a new transformation operator S by

$$w(x) = S(\tilde{w})(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(x)} (\tilde{w} \circ \sigma)(x), \quad (2.4)$$

and its inverse S^{-1} is

$$\tilde{w}(\tilde{x}) = S^{-1}(w)(\tilde{x}) = (\lambda \circ \sigma^{-1})(\tilde{x}) (w \circ \sigma^{-1})(\tilde{x}). \quad (2.5)$$

Obviously we have:

$$\begin{aligned} wf &= S(\tilde{w})T(\tilde{f}) = (\tilde{w} \circ \sigma)(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma), \\ \tilde{w}\tilde{f} &= S^{-1}(w)T^{-1}(f) = (w \circ \sigma^{-1})(f \circ \sigma^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In the next lemmas, w is a weight in $[0, 1)$ and $\tilde{w} = S^{-1}(w)$ is the corresponding weight in $[0, \infty)$.

Lemma 1. *The operators T and its inverse T^{-1} are linear positive operators and the next equalities are true:*

$$\begin{aligned} T(\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{f}) &= \varphi D^2(T\tilde{f}), \\ T^{-1}(\varphi D^2 f) &= \tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2(T^{-1}f). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. We prove only the first equality, as the proof of the second one is similar. For the right-hand side of the first equality we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(T\tilde{f}) &= D(\lambda(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma)) = -\tilde{f} \circ \sigma + \lambda D\tilde{f} \circ \sigma \\ &= -\tilde{f} \circ \sigma + \lambda\tilde{D}\tilde{f} \circ \sigma \cdot \lambda^{-2} = -\tilde{f} \circ \sigma + \lambda^{-1}\tilde{D}\tilde{f} \circ \sigma \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D^2(T\tilde{f}) &= D(-\tilde{f} \circ \sigma + \lambda^{-1}\tilde{D}\tilde{f} \circ \sigma) \\ &= -\tilde{D}\tilde{f} \circ \sigma \cdot \lambda^{-2} + D(\lambda^{-1})\tilde{D}\tilde{f} \circ \sigma + \lambda^{-1}D(\tilde{D}\tilde{f} \circ \sigma) \\ &= -\lambda^{-2}\tilde{D}\tilde{f} \circ \sigma + \lambda^{-2}\tilde{D}\tilde{f} \circ \sigma + \lambda^{-1}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{f} \circ \sigma \cdot \lambda^{-2} = \lambda^{-3}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{f} \circ \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\varphi D^2(T\tilde{f}) = \lambda \frac{\varphi}{\lambda^4} \tilde{D}^2\tilde{f} \circ \sigma = \lambda \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{D}^2\tilde{f} \circ \sigma = T(\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{f}). \quad \square$$

Lemma 2. *The operator $T : C(\tilde{w})[0, \infty) \rightarrow C(w)[0, 1)$ is an one-to-one correspondence with*

$$\|wT(\tilde{f})\|_{[0,1)} = \|\tilde{w}\tilde{f}\|_{[0,\infty)}, \quad \|\tilde{w}T^{-1}(f)\|_{[0,\infty)} = \|wf\|_{[0,1)}.$$

Proof. The above equalities are easily obtainable from the definition (2.3) of the operator T and from the equalities (2.6). \square

Lemma 3. *The operator $T : W^2(\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi})[0, \infty) \rightarrow W^2(w\varphi)[0, 1)$ is an one-to-one correspondence with*

$$\|w\varphi D^2(T(\tilde{f}))\|_{[0,1)} = \|\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{f}\|_{[0,\infty)}, \quad \|\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2(T^{-1}(f))\|_{[0,\infty)} = \|w\varphi D^2 f\|_{[0,1)}.$$

Proof. From the definition (2.3) of the operator T and from the equalities (2.6) and (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{f} &= \tilde{w}T^{-1}\left(\varphi D^2(T\tilde{f})\right) = \tilde{w}\frac{1}{\lambda\circ\sigma^{-1}}\left(\varphi D^2(T\tilde{f})\right)\circ\sigma^{-1} \\ &= (\lambda\circ\sigma^{-1})(w\circ\sigma^{-1})\frac{1}{\lambda\circ\sigma^{-1}}\left(\varphi D^2(T\tilde{f})\right)\circ\sigma^{-1} \\ &= (w\circ\sigma^{-1})\left(\varphi D^2(T\tilde{f})\right)\circ\sigma^{-1} = (w\varphi D^2(T(\tilde{f})))\circ\sigma^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) = \left(w\varphi D^2(T(\tilde{f}))\right)\circ\sigma^{-1}(\tilde{x}) = w\varphi D^2(T(\tilde{f}))(x)$$

or

$$\|w\varphi D^2(T(\tilde{f}))\|_{[0,1]} = \|\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{f}\|_{[0,\infty]}.$$

The proof of the second equality is similar, and therefore is omitted. \square

Lemma 4. For every $f \in C(w)[0, 1] + W^2(w\varphi)[0, 1]$, $\tilde{f} = T^{-1}f$ and $t > 0$ we have

$$K_w(f, t)_{[0,1]} = K_{\tilde{w}}(\tilde{f}, t)_{[0,\infty]}.$$

Proof. From the definition of the K -functional (2.1) we have

$$K_{\tilde{w}}(\tilde{f}, t)_{[0,\infty]} = \inf_{\tilde{g} \in W^2(\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}), \tilde{f}-\tilde{g} \in C(\tilde{w})} \left\{ \|\tilde{w}(\tilde{f} - \tilde{g})\|_{[0,\infty]} + t\|\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{g}\|_{[0,\infty]} \right\}.$$

Now, from (2.6)

$$\tilde{w}(\tilde{f} - \tilde{g}) = (w\circ\sigma^{-1})((f - g)\circ\sigma^{-1})$$

and consequently

$$\|\tilde{w}(\tilde{f} - \tilde{g})\|_{[0,\infty]} = \|w(f - g)\|_{[0,1]}.$$

From Lemma 4 we have

$$\|\tilde{w}\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{D}^2\tilde{g}\|_{[0,\infty]} = \|w\varphi D^2(T(\tilde{g}))\|_{[0,1]} = \|w\varphi D^2g\|_{[0,1]}. \quad \square$$

The classical Baskakov operator $V_n f(x)$ (see [1]) is defined for bounded functions $f(x)$ in $[0, \infty)$ by the formula

$$V_n f(x) = (V_n f, x) = V_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) v_{n,k}(x), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$v_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} x^k (1+x)^{-n-k}.$$

The next two lemmas give the connection between the MKZ operators M_n and the Baskakov operators V_n .

Lemma 5. For every f such that one of the series below is convergent and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$M_n(f)(x) = T(V_n(T^{-1}(f)))(x), \quad x \in [0, 1]. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. From the definition of T we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(V_n(T^{-1}(f)))(x) &= \lambda(x)(V_n(T^{-1}(f)) \circ \sigma^{-1})(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}}(V_n(T^{-1}(f))(\tilde{x})) = \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}}V_n(\tilde{f}, \tilde{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{\tilde{x}^k}{(1+\tilde{x})^{n+k}} \tilde{f}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{\tilde{x}^k}{(1+\tilde{x})^{n+k+1}} \frac{1}{(\lambda \circ \sigma^{-1})\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)} (f \circ \sigma^{-1})\left(\frac{k}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sigma^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \frac{k/n}{1+k/n} = \frac{k}{n+k},$$

we have

$$(\lambda \circ \sigma^{-1})\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \lambda\left(\frac{k}{n+k}\right) = \frac{n}{n+k}$$

and

$$(f \circ \sigma^{-1})\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = f\left(\frac{k}{n+k}\right).$$

Also,

$$\frac{\tilde{x}^k}{(1+\tilde{x})^{n+k+1}} = \left(\frac{\tilde{x}}{1+\tilde{x}}\right)^k \frac{1}{(1+\tilde{x})^{n+1}} = x^k(1-x)^{n+1}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} T(V_n(T^{-1}(f)))(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{n+k}{k} x^k(1-x)^{n+1} f\left(\frac{k}{n+k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k(1-x)^{n+1} f\left(\frac{k}{n+k}\right) = M_n(f, x). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. For every $f \in C(w)[0, 1]$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|w(M_n f - f)\|_{[0,1]} = \|\tilde{w}(V_n \tilde{f} - \tilde{f})\|_{[0,\infty)}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(f)(x) &= T(V_n(T^{-1}(f)))(x) = \lambda(x)(V_n(T^{-1}(f)) \circ \sigma^{-1})(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}}(V_n(T^{-1}(f))(\tilde{x})) = \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}}V_n(\tilde{f}, \tilde{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$f(x) = T(\tilde{f})(x) = \lambda(x)(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma)(x) = \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}}\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}),$$

it follows that

$$M_n(f)(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}} \left(V_n(\tilde{f}, \tilde{x}) - \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) \right).$$

Also, from (2.4) we have

$$w(x) = S(\tilde{w})(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(x)}(\tilde{w} \circ \sigma)(x) = (1+\tilde{x})\tilde{w}(\tilde{x}).$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} w(x)(M_n f - f)(x) &= (1+\tilde{x})\tilde{w}(\tilde{x})\frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}} \left(V_n(\tilde{f}, \tilde{x}) - \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) \right) \\ &= \tilde{w}(\tilde{x}) \left(V_n \tilde{f} - \tilde{f} \right) (\tilde{x}) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\|w(M_n f - f)\|_{[0,1]} = \left\| \tilde{w}(V_n \tilde{f} - \tilde{f}) \right\|_{[0,\infty)}. \quad \square$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1 AND SOME OTHER RESULTS FOR MKZ

From Lemma 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(f)(x) &= T(V_n(T^{-1}(f)))(x) = \lambda(x)(V_n(T^{-1}(f)) \circ \sigma^{-1})(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}}(V_n(T^{-1}(f))(\tilde{x})) = \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}}V_n(\tilde{f}, \tilde{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$f(x) = T(\tilde{f})(x) = \lambda(x)(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma)(x) = \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}}\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}),$$

it follows that

$$M_n(f)(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{1+\tilde{x}} \left(V_n(\tilde{f}, \tilde{x}) - \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) \right).$$

Also, from (2.4) we have

$$w(x) = S(\tilde{w})(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(x)}(\tilde{w} \circ \sigma)(x) = (1 + \tilde{x})\tilde{w}(\tilde{x}).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} w(x)(M_n f - f)(x) &= (1 + \tilde{x})\tilde{w}(\tilde{x}) \frac{1}{1 + \tilde{x}} \left(V_n(\tilde{f}, \tilde{x}) - \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) \right) \\ &= \tilde{w}(\tilde{x}) \left(V_n \tilde{f} - \tilde{f} \right)(\tilde{x}) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\|w(M_n f - f)\|_{[0,1]} = \|\tilde{w}(V_n \tilde{f} - \tilde{f})\|_{[0,\infty)}.$$

From [6, Theorem 1] we have that for weights $\tilde{w}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}^{\beta_0}(1 + \tilde{x})^{\beta_\infty}$, where $\beta_0 \in [-1, 0]$, $\beta_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$, the next equivalency is true:

There exists an absolute constant L such that, for every natural number $n > L$,

$$\|\tilde{w}(V_n \tilde{f} - \tilde{f})\|_{[0,\infty)} \sim K_{\tilde{w}} \left(\tilde{f}, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{[0,\infty)}.$$

From Lemma 4 we have

$$K_w(f, t)_{[0,1]} = K_{\tilde{w}}(\tilde{f}, t)_{[0,\infty)},$$

and consequently

$$\|w(M_n f - f)\|_{[0,1]} \sim K_w \left(f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{[0,1]}.$$

For the weights $\tilde{w}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}^{\beta_0}(1 + \tilde{x})^{\beta_\infty}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) &= \frac{1}{\lambda(x)}(\tilde{w} \circ \sigma)(x) = (1 + \tilde{x})\tilde{w}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}^{\gamma_0}(1 + \tilde{x})^{\gamma_\infty+1} \\ &= x^{\gamma_0}(1 - x)^{-(\gamma_\infty+\gamma_0+1)} = x^{\gamma_0}(1 - x)^{\gamma_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta_0 \in [-1, 0]$, $\beta_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\gamma_0 \in [-1, 0]$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete. \square

From Lemma 6, Lemma 3 and Lemma 5 in [6] we obtain the following Jackson-type inequality.

Theorem 2. For w , defined by (1.2) there exists a constant C such that for every natural $n \geq |1 + \gamma_0 + \gamma_1|$ we have

$$\|w(M_n f - f)\|_{[0,1]} \leq \frac{C}{n} \|w\varphi D^2 f\|_{[0,1]}$$

for every function $f \in W^2(w\varphi)[0, 1)$.

From the definition of T , Lemma 3, Lemma 5 and Lemma 7 in [6] we obtain the following Bernstein-type inequality.

Theorem 3. *For w , defined by (1.2) there exists a constant C such that for every natural $n \geq |1 + \gamma_0 + \gamma_1|$ we have*

$$\|w\varphi D^2 M_n f\|_{[0,1]} \leq Cn \|wf\|_{[0,1]}$$

for every function $f \in C(w)[0, 1]$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The first-named author is partially supported by the Bulgarian National Research Fund under Contract DN 02/14.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] Baskakov, V. A.: An instance of a sequence of the linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **113**, 1957, 249–251.
- [2] Becker, M., Nessel, R. J.: A global approximation theorem for Meyer-König and Zeller operators. *Math. Z.*, **160**, 1978, 195–206.
- [3] Ditzian, Z., Ivanov, K. G.: Strong converse inequalities. *J. Anal. Math.*, **61**, 1993, 61–111.
- [4] Ditzian, Z., Totik, V.: *Moduli of Smoothness*. Springer, Berlin, New York, 1987.
- [5] Gadjev, I.: Strong converse result for uniform approximation by Meyer-König and Zeller operator. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **428**, 2015, 32–42.
- [6] Gadjev, I.: Weighted approximation by Baskakov operators. *J. Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **18**, no. 4, 2015, 1443–1461.
- [7] Holhoş, A.: Uniform approximation of functions by Meyer-König and Zeller operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **393**, 2012, 33–37.
- [8] Ivanov, K. G., Parvanov, P. E.: Weighted approximation by Meyer-König and Zeller-Type operators. In: *Constructive Theory of Functions, Sozopol 2010, in memory of Borislav Bojanov* (G. Nikolov and R. Uluchev, eds.), Prof. Marin Drinov Academic Publishing House, Sofia, 2012, pp. 150–160.
- [9] Lixia Liu Shunsheng Guo, Zhiming Wang: Pointwise approximation by Meyer-König and Zeller operators. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, **29**, no. 7-8, 2008, 770–778.
- [10] Totik, V.: Uniform approximation by Baskakov and Meyer-König and Zeller-type operators. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, **14**, no. 3-4, 1983, 209–228.

Received on October 30, 2017

Ivan Gadjev, Parvan E. Parvanov
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
“St. Kl. Ohridski” University of Sofia
5, J. Bourchier blvd., BG-1164 Sofia
BULGARIA
E-mails: gadjevivan@hotmail.com
pparvan@fmi.uni-sofia.bg