

ГОДИШНИК НА СОФИЙСКИЯ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ „СВ. КЛИМЕНТ ОХРИДСКИ“

ФАКУЛТЕТ ПО МАТЕМАТИКА И ИНФОРМАТИКА

Том 105

ANNUAL OF SOFIA UNIVERSITY „ST. KLIMENT OHRIDSKI“

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS

Volume 105

WEIGHTED APPROXIMATION
BY KANTOROVICH TYPE MODIFICATION
OF MEYER-KÖNIG AND ZELLER OPERATOR

IVAN GADJEV, PARVAN PARVANOV, RUMEN ULUCHEV

We investigate the weighted approximation of functions in L_p -norm by Kantorovich modifications of the classical Meyer-König and Zeller operator, with weights of type $(1-x)^\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. By defining an appropriate K-functional we prove direct theorems for them.

Keywords: Meyer-König and Zeller operator, K-functional, direct theorem, moduli of smoothness.

2010 Math. Subject Classification: 41A36, 41A25, 41A17.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to approximate unbounded functions in uniform norm in $[0, 1)$, Meyer-König and Zeller (see [15]) introduced a new operator by the formula

$$M_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n+k}\right), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$m_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n+k}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n+1}. \quad (1.2)$$

But this operator cannot be used to approximate functions in L_p -norm because it is not bounded operator in L_p . Some kind of modification is needed. In this paper

we investigate the weighted approximation of functions in L_p -norm by Kantorovich modifications of the classical Meyer-König and Zeller (MKZ) operator.

In 1930, Kantorovich [13] suggested a modification of the classical Bernstein operator, replacing the function values by mean values. Analogously, Totik [16] introduced Kantorovich type modification of MKZ operator:

$$\tilde{M}_n^*(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) \frac{(n+k)(n+k+1)}{n} \int_{\frac{k}{n+k}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+k+1}} f(u) du,$$

and proved direct and converse theorems of weak type in terminology of Ditzian and Ivanov [4] for it. Although this definition looks as the most natural one, the operator \tilde{M}_n^* is not a contraction, hence it is not very suitable for approximating functions in L_p -norm for $p < \infty$.

In [14] Müller defined a Kantorovich modification of MKZ operator in a slightly different way, so that the resulting operator is a contraction:

$$\tilde{M}_n(f; x) = \tilde{M}_n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) \frac{(n+k+1)(n+k+2)}{n+1} \int_{\frac{k}{n+k+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+k+2}} f(u) du. \quad (1.3)$$

Recently, in [11] by introducing an appropriate K-functional the first author proved a direct theorem for the operators $\tilde{M}_n(f; x)$. Our goal in this paper is to extend this result for the case of weighted approximation of functions in L_p -norm by $\tilde{M}_n(f; x)$ operator.

Let us introduce some notations. For the sake of simplicity and brevity of our presentation we set

$$\gamma_{n,k} = \frac{(n+k+1)(n+k+2)}{n+1}, \quad \Delta_{n,k} = \left[\frac{k}{n+k+1}, \frac{k+1}{n+k+2} \right]. \quad (1.4)$$

Then, the Kantorovich modification of MKZ operator (1.3) takes the form

$$\tilde{M}_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} f(u) du.$$

The weights under consideration in our survey are

$$w(x) = (1-x)^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.5)$$

By $\varphi(x) = x(1-x)^2$ we denote the weight which is naturally related to the second derivative of MKZ operator. The usual first derivative operator is denoted by $D = \frac{d}{dx}$. Thus, $Dg(x) = g'(x)$ and $D^k g(x) = g^{(k)}(x)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We define a differential operator \tilde{D} by the formula

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\varphi(x) \frac{d}{dx} \right) = D\varphi D.$$

The space $AC_{loc}(0, 1)$ consists of the functions which are absolutely continuous in $[a, b]$ for every $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and weight function $w(x)$ as in (1.5) we set

$$L_p(w) = \{f : wf \in L_p[0, 1]\},$$

$$W_p(w) = \begin{cases} \{f : f, Df \in AC_{loc}(0, 1), w\tilde{D}f \in L_p[0, 1], \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+, 1^-} \varphi(x)Df(x) = 0\}, & \alpha < 0, \\ \{f : f, Df \in AC_{loc}(0, 1), w\tilde{D}f \in L_p[0, 1], \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(x)Df(x) = 0\}, & \alpha \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$L_p(w) + W_p(w) = \{f : f = f_1 + f_2, f_1 \in L_p(w), f_2 \in W_p(w)\}.$$

Also, we define a K-functional $\tilde{K}_w(f, t)_p$ for $t > 0$ by

$$\tilde{K}_w(f, t)_p = \inf \{\|w(f - g)\|_p + t\|w\tilde{D}g\|_p : f - g \in L_p(w), g \in W_p(w)\}. \quad (1.6)$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, w defined by (1.5), \tilde{M}_n defined by (1.3), and the K-functional given by (1.6) there exists a positive constant C such that for every $n > |\alpha|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for all functions $f \in L_p(w) + W_p(w)$ there holds

$$\|w(\tilde{M}_n f - f)\|_p \leq C\tilde{K}_w\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_p. \quad (1.7)$$

Remark 1. Converse theorem remains an open problem even for the non-weighted case, i.e., for $w(x) = 1$ in (1.5).

Problems on characterization of weighted K-functionals by moduli of smoothness were considered by Draganov and Ivanov in [6, 7, 9]. Particularly, they characterized the K-functional

$$K_w(f, t)_p = \inf \{\|w(f - g)\|_p + t\|w\varphi D^2 g\|_p : g, Dg \in AC_{loc}(0, 1), f - g, \varphi D^2 g \in L_p(w)\}. \quad (1.8)$$

In this paper we also show that the same moduli of smoothness can be used for computing the K-functional $\tilde{K}_w(f, t)_p$. So, we prove the next statement.

Theorem 2. For $1 < p < \infty$ and w , $\tilde{K}_w(f, t)_p$, $K_w(f, t)_p$, defined by (1.5), (1.6) and (1.8), respectively, there exists a positive constant C such that for all $f \in L_p(w) + W_p(w)$ there holds

$$\tilde{K}_w(f, t)_p \leq C(K_w(f, t)_p + tE_0(f)), \quad (1.9)$$

where $E_0(f) = \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|w(f - c)\|_p$ is the best weighted approximation to f by a constant.

Remark 2. For $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$ new moduli are needed. Also, a problem on characterization of the K-functional $\tilde{K}_w(f, t)_p$ arises, but it is not the subject of our survey here.

Henceforth, the constant C will always be an absolute positive constant, which means it does not depend on f and n . Also, it may be different on each occurrence. The relation $\theta_1(f, t) \sim \theta_2(f, t)$ means that there exists a constant $c \geq 1$, independent of f and t , such that

$$c^{-1}\theta_1(f, t) \leq \theta_2(f, t) \leq c\theta_1(f, t).$$

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we present some properties of the operators M_n , \tilde{M}_n , basis functions $m_{n,k}$ (see [1, 10, 12]), and prove auxiliary lemmas that we need further.

The operators M_n and \tilde{M}_n are linear positive operators with $\|M_n f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ and $\|\tilde{M}_n\|_1 = 1$. Moreover,

$$\|\tilde{M}_n\|_p \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

$$M_n(1; x) = 1, \quad M_n(t - x; x) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\tilde{M}_n(1; x) = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

A direct integration yields the identity:

$$\int_0^1 m_{n,k}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,k}}. \quad (2.4)$$

We shall need the next three properties of the functions $\{m_{n,k}\}_{k=0}^\infty$, defined by (1.2) (for proofs, see e.g., [11]).

Lemma 1. *If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (n+k+1)m_{n,k}(x), \quad x \in [0, 1). \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2. *If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(1-x)^k}{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{k+j}, \quad x \in [0, 1). \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 3. *There exists an absolute constant C such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following inequality holds true:*

$$\left| \ln(1-x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{n+j} \right| \leq \frac{C}{n}, \quad x \in [0, 1). \quad (2.7)$$

In [16, Lemma 3] Totik proved that for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|(1-x)Df(x)\|_p \leq C(\|f\|_p + \|\varphi D^2 f\|_p). \quad (2.8)$$

In order to prove our main results we need a few additional lemmas.

Lemma 4. *For every integer ν there exists a constant $C = C(\nu)$, such that*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)^{\nu} m_{n,k}(x) \leq C(1-x)^{\nu}, \quad x \in [0, 1), \quad (2.9)$$

for all $n > |\nu|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)^{\nu} m_{n,k}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+k+1}\right)^{\nu} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n+1} \\ &= (1-x)^{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)^{\nu} (n+k-\nu+1) \cdots (n+k)}{(n-\nu+1) \cdots n (n+k+1)^{\nu}} m_{n-\nu,k}(x) \\ &\leq (1-x)^{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C(\nu) m_{n-\nu,k}(x) \\ &= C(\nu)(1-x)^{\nu}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. *For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha)$, such that the following inequality is satisfied:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)^{\alpha} m_{n,k}(x) \leq C(1-x)^{\alpha}, \quad x \in [0, 1), \quad (2.10)$$

for all $n > |\alpha|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let ν be the smallest positive integer such that $\nu \geq |\alpha|$. Then, by Hölder's inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)^{\alpha} m_{n,k}(x) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)^{\nu \operatorname{sign}(\alpha)} m_{n,k}(x) \right)^{|\alpha|/\nu} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) \right)^{1-|\alpha|/\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4 we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)^{\nu \operatorname{sign}(\alpha)} m_{n,k}(x) \right)^{|\alpha|/\nu} \leq (C(1-x)^{\nu \operatorname{sign}(\alpha)})^{|\alpha|/\nu} = C(\alpha)(1-x)^{\alpha}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)^{\alpha} m_{n,k}(x) \leq C(\alpha)(1-x)^{\alpha}$$

and the lemma is proved. \square

The next lemma is a weighted variant of (2.1).

Lemma 6. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists an absolute constant C such that for all $n > |\alpha|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f \in L_p(w)$, we have*

$$\|w\tilde{M}_n f\|_p \leq C\|wf\|_p. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. First we prove (2.11) for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$. Then, by applying Riesz-Thorin theorem we obtain the estimation for every $1 < p < \infty$.

The case $p = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\tilde{M}_n f\|_1 &= \int_0^1 w(x) \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} f(t) dt \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 w(x) \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \frac{|(wf)(t)|}{w(t)} dt \right] dx \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,k} \frac{w(x)}{w\left(\frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)} m_{n,k}(x) \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} |(wf)(t)| dt \right] dx \\ &= C \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-x}{1-\frac{k}{n+k+1}} \right)^{\alpha} a_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$a_{n,k} = \gamma_{n,k} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} |(wf)(t)| dt.$$

Let $\nu = \lceil |\alpha| \rceil$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\nu \geq |\alpha|$. Applying Hölder's inequality twice we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-x}{1-\frac{k}{n+k+1}} \right)^{\alpha} a_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-x}{1-\frac{k}{n+k+1}} \right)^{\nu \operatorname{sign}(\alpha)} a_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \right]^{|\alpha|/\nu} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \right]^{1-|\alpha|/\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\tilde{M}_n f\|_1 &\leq C \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-x}{1-\frac{k}{n+k+1}} \right)^{\nu \operatorname{sign}(\alpha)} a_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \right\|_1^{|\alpha|/\nu} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \right\|_1^{1-|\alpha|/\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Now, we estimate the first nonconstant multiplier in the right-hand side of inequality (2.12). Let $\ell = \nu \operatorname{sign}(\alpha)$. For every integer number ℓ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1-x}{1-\frac{k}{n+k+1}} \right)^\ell m_{n,k}(x) &= \frac{(n+k+1)^\ell (n+1) \cdots (n+\ell)}{(n+k+1) \cdots (n+k+\ell) (n+1)^\ell} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \\ &\leq C(\ell) m_{n+\ell,k}(x), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-x}{1-\frac{k}{n+k+1}} \right)^\ell a_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \leq C(\ell) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} m_{n+\ell,k}(x).$$

Then, by (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-x}{1-\frac{k}{n+k+1}} \right)^\ell a_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \right\|_1 &\leq C \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} \|m_{n+\ell,k}(x)\|_1 = C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,k}}{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}} \\ &= C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{n,k}}{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} |(wf)(t)| dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} |(wf)(t)| dt = C \|wf\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) = \tilde{M}_n(wf; x)$ and $\|\tilde{M}_n(wf)\|_1 \leq \|wf\|_1$ by (2.1), then for the last multiplier in the right-hand side of (2.12) we obtain the inequality $\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} m_{n,k}\|_1 \leq \|wf\|_1$. Therefore,

$$\|w\tilde{M}_n f\|_1 \leq C \|wf\|_1^{|\alpha|/\nu} \|wf\|_1^{1-|\alpha|/\nu} = C \|wf\|_1$$

and the proof of the estimate (2.11) for $p = 1$ is complete.

The case $p = \infty$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| w(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} f(t) dt \right| &\leq w(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \frac{|(wf)(t)|}{w(t)} dt \\
 &\leq Cw(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x)}{w\left(\frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} |(wf)(t)| dt \\
 &\leq Cw(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n,k}(x) \frac{\|wf\|_{\infty}}{w\left(\frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)} \\
 &= Cw(x) \|wf\|_{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)^{-\alpha} m_{n,k}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Lemma 5 we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)^{-\alpha} m_{n,k}(x) \leq C(1-x)^{-\alpha}.$$

Hence,

$$\|w\tilde{M}_n f\|_{\infty} \leq Cw(x) \|wf\|_{\infty} (1-x)^{-\alpha} = C\|wf\|_{\infty},$$

which proves (2.11) in the case $p = \infty$.

Finally, the inequality (2.11) follows for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ by the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem. \square

The crucial result in our investigation is the following Jackson type inequality.

Lemma 7. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists an absolute constant C , such that for all $n > |\alpha|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f \in W_p(w)$, the following estimate holds true:*

$$\|w(\tilde{M}_n f - f)\|_p \leq \frac{C}{n} \|w\tilde{D}f\|_p. \quad (2.13)$$

(Let us note that the lemma implies that $\tilde{M}_n f - f \in L_p(w)$ for $f \in W_p(w)$.)

Proof. Let us set

$$\phi(x) = \ln \frac{x}{1-x} + \frac{1}{1-x}, \quad x \in (0, 1),$$

with $\phi'(x) = \frac{1}{x(1-x)^2} = \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} > 0$, i.e., $\phi(x)$ is an increasing function. Then we have

$$f(t) = f(x) + \varphi(x)[\phi(t) - \phi(x)]Df(x) + \int_x^t [\phi(t) - \phi(u)]\tilde{D}f(u) du, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Applying the operator \tilde{M}_n to both sides of the latter equality and multiplying by $w(x)$ we obtain

$$w(x)(\tilde{M}_n f(x) - f(x)) = w(x)\varphi(x)Df(x)[\tilde{M}_n\phi(x) - \phi(x)] + w(x)\tilde{M}_n\left(\int_x^{(\cdot)} [\phi(\cdot) - \phi(u)]\tilde{D}f(u) du; x\right). \quad (2.14)$$

First we prove the lemma for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$. Then we apply the Riesz-Thorin theorem to obtain (2.13) for every $1 < p < \infty$.

The case $p = 1$. In order to prove that

$$\|w\varphi Df[\tilde{M}_n\phi - \phi]\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n}\|w\tilde{D}f\|_1 \quad (2.15)$$

for all weights (1.5), we shall make use of the estimate

$$\|\tilde{M}_n\phi - \phi\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n} \quad (2.16)$$

(see [11, Proof of Theorem 1] for a complete proof).

Let $\alpha > 0$ be fixed. Then, for all $n > \alpha$ and $f \in W_1(w)$ we have

$$\varphi(x)Df(x) = \int_0^x (\varphi Df)'(u) du = \int_0^x \tilde{D}f(u) du, \quad x \in (0, 1).$$

Hence,

$$|w(x)\varphi(x)Df(x)| \leq w(x) \int_0^x |\tilde{D}f(u)| du \leq \int_0^x |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du \leq \int_0^1 |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du,$$

i.e.,

$$|w(x)\varphi(x)Df(x)| \leq \|w\tilde{D}f\|_1, \quad x \in (0, 1).$$

Thus,

$$\|w\varphi Df[\tilde{M}_n\phi - \phi]\|_1 \leq \|w\tilde{D}f\|_1 \|\tilde{M}_n\phi - \phi\|_1$$

and (2.15) follows from (2.16).

Similarly, let $\alpha < 0$ be fixed. Then, for all $n > -\alpha$ we have $-n < \alpha < 0$ and for $f \in W_1(w)$, we consecutively obtain

$$\varphi(x)Df(x) = \int_x^1 (\varphi Df)'(u) du = \int_x^1 \tilde{D}f(u) du, \quad x \in (0, 1),$$

$$|w(x)\varphi(x)Df(x)| \leq w(x) \int_x^1 |\tilde{D}f(u)| du \leq \int_x^1 |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du \leq \int_0^1 |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du,$$

i.e.,

$$|w(x)\varphi(x)Df(x)| \leq \|w\tilde{D}f\|_1, \quad x \in (0, 1).$$

Hence, (2.16) yields (2.15).

Therefore, for arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $f \in W_1(w)$ the estimate (2.15) holds true for $n > |\alpha|$. The case $\alpha = 0$ was considered by the first author in [11].

Now, we estimate the L_1 -norm of the second summand in the right-hand side of (2.14). More precisely, we will prove

$$\left\| w(x) \tilde{M}_n \left(\int_x^{(\cdot)} [\phi(\cdot) - \phi(u)] \tilde{D}f(u) du; x \right) \right\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n} \|w \tilde{D}f\|_1. \quad (2.17)$$

Having in mind (1.4), for $x \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| w(x) \tilde{M}_n \left(\int_x^{(\cdot)} [\phi(\cdot) - \phi(u)] \tilde{D}f(u) du; x \right) \right| \\ & \leq w(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \left(\int_x^t [\phi(t) - \phi(u)] \frac{|(w \tilde{D}f)(u)|}{w(u)} du \right) dt \\ & \leq C w(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{w(\frac{k}{n+k+1})} + \frac{1}{w(x)} \right) \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \left(\int_x^t [\phi(t) - \phi(u)] |(w \tilde{D}f)(u)| du \right) dt \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{w(x)}{w(\frac{k}{n+k+1})} + 1 \right) b_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_{n,k} = \gamma_{n,k} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \left(\int_x^t [\phi(t) - \phi(u)] |(w \tilde{D}f)(u)| du \right) dt.$$

Let ν be the smallest positive integer such that $\nu \geq |\alpha|$. Applying twice Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w(x)}{w(\frac{k}{n+k+1})} b_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) & \leq \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{w(x)}{w(\frac{k}{n+k+1})} \right)^{\nu/|\alpha|} b_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \right]^{|\alpha|/\nu} \\ & \quad \times \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \right]^{1-|\alpha|/\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| w(x) \tilde{M}_n \left(\int_x^{(\cdot)} [\phi(\cdot) - \phi(u)] \tilde{D}f(u) du; x \right) \right\|_1 \\ & \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{w(x)}{w(\frac{k}{n+k+1})} \right)^{\nu/|\alpha|} b_{n,k} m_{n,k} \right\|_1^{|\alpha|/\nu} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} m_{n,k} \right\|_1^{1-|\alpha|/\nu}. \quad (2.18) \end{aligned}$$

For estimation of the last factor in (2.18) we apply the estimate from [11] (see Proof of Theorem 1, Case 1, therein), by simply replacing $\tilde{D}f$ with $w\tilde{D}f$. So, we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} m_{n,k} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n} \|w\tilde{D}f\|_1. \quad (2.19)$$

Next, we focus on the estimating of the other multiplier in (2.18). Clearly,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{w(x)}{w\left(\frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)} \right)^{\nu/|\alpha|} b_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1-x)(n+k+1)}{n+1} \right)^{\nu \operatorname{sign}(\alpha)} b_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x).$$

Let us set for simplicity $\ell = \nu \operatorname{sign}(\alpha) = \lceil |\alpha| \rceil \operatorname{sign}(\alpha)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{(1-x)(n+k+1)}{n+1} \right)^{\ell} m_{n,k}(x) &= \frac{(n+k+1)^{\ell} (n+1) \cdots (n+\ell)}{(n+k+1) \cdots (n+k+\ell) (n+1)^{\ell}} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \\ &\leq C(\ell) m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \\ &\leq C(\ell) \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} m_{n+\ell,k}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the constant $C(\ell)$ depends only on α .

We shall make use of the following operator defined by

$$\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n+\ell,k} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} f(u) du. \quad (2.20)$$

Then,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{w(x)}{w\left(\frac{k}{n+k+1}\right)} \right)^{\nu/|\alpha|} b_{n,k} m_{n,k}(x) \leq C \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha} \left(\int_x^{(\cdot)} [\phi(\cdot) - \phi(u)] |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du; x \right). \quad (2.21)$$

In order to estimate the L_1 -norm of the right-hand side in (2.21) we follow an approach applied, e.g., in [2, pp. 41–43]. The proof in our case is much more complicated, because the operator $\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}$ does not preserve the constant functions. More precisely, it has the properties

$$\|\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}\|_1 = 1, \quad \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(1; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} m_{n+\ell,k}(x).$$

Let us write the operator $\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}$ from (2.20) in the form

$$\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(f; x) = \int_0^1 K_n(x, t) f(t) dt,$$

where $K_n(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the related kernel. Introducing the functions

$$\phi_1(x) = \ln x, \quad \phi_2(x) = -\ln(1-x), \quad \phi_3(x) = \frac{1}{1-x},$$

we have $\phi(x) = \phi_1(x) + \phi_2(x) + \phi_3(x)$ and for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha} \left(\int_x^{(\cdot)} [\phi_j(\cdot) - \phi_j(u)] |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du; x \right) \\ &= \int_0^x K_n(x, t) \int_x^t [\phi_j(t) - \phi_j(u)] |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du dt \\ & \quad + \int_x^1 K_n(x, t) \int_x^t [\phi_j(t) - \phi_j(u)] |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Fubini's theorem we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha} \int_x^{(\cdot)} [\phi(\cdot) - \phi(u)] |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du \right\|_1 \\ &= \int_0^1 |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\int_u^1 \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_j(u) - \phi_j(\cdot)]_+; x) dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^u \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_j(\cdot) - \phi_j(u)]_+; x) dx \right) du. \quad (2.22) \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the right-hand side of (2.22) we need estimations for the expressions in the sum for each of the functions ϕ_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$.

First, for ϕ_1 , using

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(\cdot)]_+; x) dx &= \|\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(\cdot)]_+; x)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|[\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(x)]_+\|_1 \\ &= \int_0^u (\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(x)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_u^1 \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(\cdot)]_+; x) dx + \int_0^u \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_1(\cdot) - \phi_1(u)]_+; x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(\cdot)]_+; x) dx - \int_0^u \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(\cdot)]_+; x) dx \\ & \quad + \int_0^u \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_1(\cdot) - \phi_1(u)]_+; x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^u (\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(x)) dx + \int_0^u \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_1(\cdot) - \phi_1(u)]_+ - [\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(\cdot)]_+; x) dx \\
&= u\phi_1(u) - \int_0^u \phi_1(x) dx + \int_0^u \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_1; x) dx - \phi_1(u) \int_0^u \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(1; x) dx \\
&= \int_0^u (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_1; x) - \phi_1(x)) dx - \phi_1(u) \int_0^u (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(1; x) - 1) dx. \tag{2.23}
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, for ϕ_j , $j = 2, 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_u^1 \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_j(u) - \phi_j(\cdot)]_+; x) dx + \int_0^u \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}([\phi_j(\cdot) - \phi_j(u)]_+; x) dx \\
&\leq \int_u^1 (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_j; x) - \phi_j(x)) dx - \phi_j(u) \int_u^1 (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(1; x) - 1) dx. \tag{2.24}
\end{aligned}$$

Since for $x, u \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(1; x) - 1| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) - 1 \right| \leq \frac{C}{n}, \\
|u\phi_1(u)| &\leq C, \quad |(1-u)\phi_2(u)| \leq C, \quad |(1-u)\phi_3(u)| \leq C,
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \phi_1(u) \int_0^u (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(1; x) - 1) dx \right| &\leq \frac{C}{n}, \\
\left| \phi_j(u) \int_u^1 (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(1; x) - 1) dx \right| &\leq \frac{C}{n}, \quad j = 2, 3. \tag{2.25}
\end{aligned}$$

1. Estimation of $\left| \int_0^u (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_1; x) - \phi_1(x)) dx \right|$. We have

$$\int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \phi_1(t) dt = \frac{k+1}{n+k+2} \ln \frac{k+1}{n+k+2} - \frac{k}{n+k+1} \ln \frac{k}{n+k+1} - \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,k}},$$

and for $x \in (0, 1)$,

$$\phi_1(x) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{(1-x)^k}{k} - \sum_{k=n+\ell+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-x)^k}{k}.$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{(1-x)^k}{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{k+i},$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^u (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_1; x) - \phi_1(x)) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^u \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left[\gamma_{n+\ell,k} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \phi_1(t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{k+i} \right] dx + \int_0^u \sum_{k=n+\ell+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-x)^k}{k} dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^u \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left[\gamma_{n+\ell,k} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \phi_1(t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{k+i} \right] dx \right| + \frac{C}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{k+1}{n+k+2} &= -\ln \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{k+i+1}{k+i} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{k+i} \right) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left[\frac{1}{k+i} - \frac{1}{2(k+i)^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(k+i)^3}\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(k+i)^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left[\frac{1}{(k+i)(k+i+1)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(k+i)^3}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{n+1}{(k+1)(n+k+2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(k+i)^3}\right), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\ln \frac{k+1}{n+k+2} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k+i} + \frac{n+1}{2(k+1)(n+k+2)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right).$$

Since

$$\frac{k+1}{n+k+2} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right),$$

then

$$\frac{k+1}{n+k+2} \ln \frac{k+1}{n+k+2} = -\frac{k+1}{n+k+2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k+i} + \frac{n+1}{2(n+k+2)^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{k}{n+k+1} \ln \frac{k}{n+k+1} = -\frac{k}{n+k+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{k+i} + \frac{n+1}{2(n+k+1)^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \phi_1(t) dt &= \frac{k}{n+k+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{k+i} - \frac{k+1}{n+k+2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k+i} \\ &\quad - \frac{n+1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{(n+k+1)^2} - \frac{1}{(n+k+2)^2} \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) - \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,k}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\gamma_{n,k}} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{k+i} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_1; x) - \phi_1(x)| &\leq m_{n+\ell,0}(x) \left| \ln(n+2) + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{i} \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k+i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{k+i} \right| + \frac{C}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\left| \ln(n+2) + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{i} \right| \leq C, \quad \|m_{n+\ell,0}\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n},$$

it follows

$$\left\| m_{n+\ell,0}(x) \left| \ln(n+2) + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{i} \right| \right\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k+i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{k+i} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} - 1 \right| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k+i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{k+i}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the inequalities

$$\left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{C}{n}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{k+i} \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \leq \frac{C}{n},$$

yield

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k+i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{k+i} \right| \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k+i} + \frac{C}{n}.$$

By Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k+i} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n+\ell} \frac{1}{k+i} \leq |\ln x|.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \int_0^u \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k+i} dx \right| \leq \left| \int_0^u \ln x dx \right| \leq \left| \int_0^1 \ln x dx \right| \leq C,$$

and we conclude that

$$\left| \int_0^u (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_1; x) - \phi_1(x)) dx \right| \leq \frac{C}{n}. \quad (2.26)$$

2. Estimation of $\left| \int_u^1 (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_2; x) - \phi_2(x)) dx \right|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \phi_2(t) dt &= \frac{n+1}{n+k+2} \ln \frac{n+1}{n+k+2} - \frac{n+1}{n+k+1} \ln \frac{n+1}{n+k+1} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,k}}, \\ \gamma_{n,k} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \phi_2(t) dt &= 1 - (n+k+1) \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+k+1} \right) - \ln \frac{n+1}{n+k+1} \\ &= \ln \frac{n+k+1}{n+1} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n+k}\right), \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$M_{n,\alpha}(\phi_2; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} \left[\ln \frac{n+k+1}{n+1} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n+k}\right) \right].$$

Applying Lemma 3 we obtain

$$\left| \phi_2(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{n+\ell+i} \right| \leq \frac{C}{n},$$

and then

$$\left| \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_2; x) - \phi_2(x) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} \ln \frac{n+k+1}{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{n+\ell+i} \right| + \frac{C}{n}.$$

Taking into account that

$$\ln \frac{n+k+1}{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^k \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+i} + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(n+i)^2}\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(n+i)^2} \leq \frac{C}{n},$$

we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_2; x) - \phi_2(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{n+\ell+i} \right| + \frac{C}{n} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} - 1 \right| \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{n+\ell+i} \right| + \frac{C}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{C}{n},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} - 1 \right| \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+i} &\leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+i} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{n+\ell+i} \right| + \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{n+\ell+i}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{n+\ell+i} \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n+i} \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

We recall that $\ell = \lceil |\alpha| \rceil \text{sign}(\alpha)$ and $C = C(\alpha)$, i.e. C is an absolute constant for a fixed α . Then, by Lemma 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \left| \frac{\gamma_{n+\ell,k}}{\gamma_{n,k}} - 1 \right| \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+i} &\leq \frac{C}{n^2} + \frac{C}{n} \left| \ln(1-x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{n+\ell+i} \right| + \frac{C}{n} |\ln(1-x)| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^2} + \frac{C}{n^2} + \frac{C}{n} |\ln(1-x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \int_u^1 (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_2; x) - \phi_2(x)) dx \right| \leq \frac{C}{n} \int_0^1 (2 - \ln(1-x)) dx \leq \frac{C}{n}. \quad (2.27)$$

3. Estimation of $|\int_u^1 (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_3; x) - \phi_3(x)) dx|$. The last estimation we need concerns the function $\phi_3(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$. We have

$$\int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \phi_3(t) dt = \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+k+1} \right) = \frac{1}{n+k+1} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(n+k)^2}\right),$$

$$\gamma_{n,k} \int_{\Delta_{n,k}} \phi_3(t) dt = \frac{n+k+2}{n+1} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

By Lemma 1,

$$\phi_3(x) = \frac{1}{n+\ell+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (n+\ell+k+1) m_{n+\ell,k}(x),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_3; x) - \phi_3(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \frac{n+k+\ell+1}{n+\ell+1} \left(\frac{n+k+\ell+2}{n+k+1} - 1 \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n+\ell,k}(x) \frac{n+k+\ell+1}{n+\ell+1} \cdot \frac{\ell+1}{n+k+1} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left| \int_u^1 (\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\phi_3; x) - \phi_3(x)) dx \right| \leq \frac{C}{n} \int_u^1 dx \leq \frac{C}{n}. \quad (2.28)$$

Now, from inequalities (2.22)–(2.28) it follows that

$$\left\| \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha} \int_x^{(\cdot)} [\phi(\cdot) - \phi(u)] |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du \right\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n}. \quad (2.29)$$

The estimate (2.17) is a consequence of (2.18), (2.19), (2.21), and (2.29).

Finally, the estimate (2.13) for the case $p = 1$ follows from (2.14), (2.15) and (2.17).

The case $p = \infty$.

We proceed similarly to the case $p = 1$: applying Holder's inequality for the smallest integer $\geq \alpha$, considering again the operator $\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}$ and using the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha} \left(\int_x^{(\cdot)} [\phi(\cdot) - \phi(u)] |(w\tilde{D}f)(u)| du; x \right) \\ &\leq \|w\tilde{D}f\|_{\infty} \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha} \left(\int_x^{(\cdot)} [\phi(\cdot) - \phi(u)] du; x \right) \\ &\leq x |\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\ln t; x) - \ln x| \|w\tilde{D}f\|_{\infty} + (1-x) \left| \tilde{M}_{n,\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1-t}; x \right) - \frac{1}{1-x} \right| \|w\tilde{D}f\|_{\infty} \\ &\quad + x |\tilde{M}_{n,\alpha}(\ln(1-t); x) - \ln(1-x)| \|w\tilde{D}f\|_{\infty}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need a weighted variant of (2.8).

Lemma 8. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then, for all functions $f \in L_p(w)$ such that $\varphi D^2 f \in L_p(w)$, there exists a constant C such that the next inequality is true*

$$\|wD\varphi Df\|_p \leq C(\|wf\|_p + \|w\varphi D^2 f\|_p).$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of [16, Lemma 3], using the obvious

$$|D\varphi(x)| = |(1-x)(1-3x)| < 2(1-x), \quad 0 \leq x < 1,$$

and $w(x) \sim w(1-2^{-k})$ for $x \in (1-2^{-k}, 1-2^{-k-1})$. □

3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND THEOREM 2

Proof of Theorem 1. We establish the direct inequality by means of a standard argument.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For any $g \in W_p(w)$ such that $f - g \in L_p(w)$ we have, by virtue of (2.11) and Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(f - \tilde{M}_n f)\|_p &\leq \|w(f - g)\|_p + \|w(g - \tilde{M}_n g)\|_p + \|w\tilde{M}_n(f - g)\|_p \\ &\leq 2\|w(f - g)\|_p + \frac{C}{n} \|w\tilde{D}g\|_p \\ &\leq C\left(\|w(f - g)\|_p + \frac{1}{n} \|w\tilde{D}g\|_p\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum on g we obtain the inequality (1.7) in the theorem. □

Proof of Theorem 2. For every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, by virtue of Lemma 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|wD\varphi Dg\|_p &= \|wD\varphi D(g - c)\|_p \\ &\leq C(\|w\varphi D^2(g - c)\|_p + \|w(g - c)\|_p) \\ &= C(\|w\varphi D^2 g\|_p + \|w(g - c)\|_p). \end{aligned}$$

Using the latter inequality and the obvious

$$\|w\tilde{D}g\|_p \leq \|wD\varphi Dg\|_p + \|w\varphi D^2 g\|_p$$

we have for $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|w(f - g)\|_p + t\|w\tilde{D}g\|_p \\ &\leq \|w(f - g)\|_p + t\|wD\varphi Dg\|_p + t\|w\varphi D^2 g\|_p \\ &= \|w(f - g)\|_p + Ct(\|w\varphi D^2 g\|_p + \|w(g - c)\|_p) + t\|w\varphi D^2 g\|_p \\ &= C(\|w(f - g)\|_p + t\|w\varphi D^2 g\|_p) + Ct\|w(g - f + f - c)\|_p \\ &\leq C(\|w(f - g)\|_p + t\|w\varphi D^2 g\|_p) + Ct\|w(g - f)\|_p + Ct\|w(f - c)\|_p \\ &\leq C(\|w(f - g)\|_p + t\|w\varphi D^2 g\|_p + t\|w(f - c)\|_p). \end{aligned}$$

By taking infimum over all functions $g \in W_p(w)$ and all real constants c we obtain the inequality

$$\tilde{K}_w(f, t)_p \leq C \inf \{ \|w(f - g)\|_p + t \|w\varphi D^2 g\|_p : f - g \in L_p(w), g \in W_p(w) \} + CtE_0(f).$$

To complete the proof in the case $\alpha \geq 0$, it remains to take into consideration that in the definition of $K_w(f, t)_p$ we can, equivalently, assume that g is in C^2 in a neighbourhood of 0 if $f \in L_p(w)$ (see [3, p. 110]).

To complete the proof for $\alpha < 0$, we will show that if $g, Dg \in AC_{loc}(0, 1)$ and $wg, w\varphi D^2 g \in L_p[0, 1)$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \varphi(x) Dg(x) = 0.$$

To this end, we first apply [5, Lemma 1] to get $(1 - x)^{\alpha+1} Dg(x) \in L_p[1/2, 1)$.

Next, we use [8, Lemma 3.1(a)], transformed for a singularity at $x = 1$, with $G = \varphi Dg$ and $\gamma = \alpha - 1 < -1$ to derive

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} G(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \varphi(x) Dg(x) = 0. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgement. The first author is supported by the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science under the National Research Programme “Young scientists and postdoctoral students” approved by DCM # 577/17.08.2018. The third author is supported by the *Bulgarian National Research Fund* under Contract DN 02/14.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] Becker, M., Nessel, R.J.: A global approximation theorem for Meyer-König and Zeller operators. *Math. Z.*, **160**, 1978, 195–206.
- [2] Berens, H., Xu, Y.: On Bernstein-Durrmeyer Polynomials with Jacobi-Weights. In: *Approximation Theory and Functional Analysis*, (C.K. Chui, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1991, pp. 25–46.
- [3] Ditzian, Z.: Polynomial approximation and $\omega_\varphi^r(f, t)$ twenty years later. *Surveys Approx. Theory*, **3**, 2007, 106–151.
- [4] Ditzian, Z., Ivanov, K.G.: Strong converse inequalities. *J. Anal. Math.*, **61**, 1993, 61–111.
- [5] Ditzian, Z., Totik, V.: K -functionals and weighted moduli of smoothness. *J. Approx. Theory*, **63**, 1990, 3–29.

- [6] Draganov, B. R., Ivanov, K. G.: A new characterization of weighted Peetre K-functionals. *Constr. Approx.*, **21**, 2005, 113–148.
- [7] Draganov, B. R., Ivanov, K. G.: A new characterization of weighted Peetre K-functionals (II). *Serdica Math. J.*, **33**, 2007, 59–124.
- [8] Draganov, B. R., Ivanov, K. G.: A Characterization of Weighted Approximations by the Post-Widder and the Gamma Operators (II). *J. Approx. Theory*, **162**, 2010, 1805–1851.
- [9] Draganov, B. R., Ivanov, K. G.: A new characterization of weighted Peetre K-functionals (III). In: *Constructive Theory of Functions, Sozopol 2016*, (K. Ivanov et al., eds.), Prof. Marin Drinov Publishing House, Sofia, 2018, pp. 75–97.
- [10] Gadjev, I.: Strong converse result for uniform approximation by Meyer-König and Zeller operator. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **428**, 2015, 32–42.
- [11] Gadjev, I.: A direct theorem for MKZ-Kantorovich operator. *Analysis Math.*, **45**, 2019, 25–38.
- [12] Guo, Sh., Qi, Q., Li, C.: Strong converse inequalities for Meyer-König and Zeller operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **337**, 2008, 994–1001.
- [13] Kantorovich, L.: Sur certains developpements suivant les polynomes de la forme de S. Bernstein. *C.R. Acad. Sci. URSS*, **I, II**, 1930, 563–568, 595–600.
- [14] Müller, M. W.: L_p -Approximation by the method of integral Meyer-König and Zeller operators. *Studia Math.*, **LXIII**, 1978, 81–88.
- [15] Meyer-König, W., Zeller, K.: Bernsteinsche Potenzreihen. *Studia Math.*, **19**, 1960, 89–94.
- [16] Totik, V.: Approximation by Meyer-König and Zeller type operators. *Math. Z.*, **182**, 1983, 425–446.

IVAN GADJEV, PARVAN PARVANOV, RUMEN ULUCHEV

Department of Mathematics and Informatics

University of Sofia

5 James Bourchier Blvd.

1164 Sofia

BULGARIA

E-mails: {gadjevivan, pparvan, rumenu}@fmi.uni-sofia.bg